

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE
HAILEY CITY COUNCIL
HELD MONDAY, JANUARY 23, 2006
IN THE HAILEY TOWN CENTER MEETING ROOM**

The regular meeting of the Hailey City Council was called to order at 4:30 p.m. by Mayor Susan McBryant. Present were Council members Rick Davis, Martha Burke, Don Keirn, and Carol Brown. Staff present included City Attorney Ned Williamson and City Clerk Heather Dawson.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Keirn moved that the council go into executive session for the purpose of discussing land acquisition. Burke seconded; a roll call vote showed all (absent Carol Brown, who arrived at 5:35 p.m.) in favor. The council invited Bill Simon and John Boller with them into executive session. Carol Brown arrived and joined them at 4:50 p.m. Following a motion and roll call vote to go out of executive session, the council went into its public meeting at 5:32 p.m.

CONSENT AGENDA

Keirn moved and Burke seconded that the consent agenda be approved as presented, with the exception of items CA 22 and CA 23. The motion carried unanimously.

~~CA 22 — Motion to approve Fireworks Agreement for 4th of July fireworks display~~

~~CA 23 — Motion to approve Contract for Services with Becki Keefer for Park Development~~

CA 24 Motion to approve amended PUD Agreement for Frosty Acre Subdivision

CA 25 Motion to approve claims for expenses incurred in December, 2005, with payment to be issued in January, 2006.

CA 23 Contract for Services with Becki Keefer

Williamson amended a clause of CA 23 to read Parks and Lands Board instead of Planning and Zoning Commission. Burke moved and Brown seconded that the contract be approved as amended; the motion carried unanimously.

CA 22 was continued to the next meeting at the suggestion of the fire chief and Williamson, who planned to make some changes to the fireworks agreement.

FEMA LETTER OF MAP REVISION

City Planner Diane Shay introduced a proposal to remove 415 and 425 West Bullion Street from the flood plain map. Brockway Engineering said the hydrology was reviewed, and found that FEMA's determination that the water comes from sheet flooding from Indian Creek is not correct. The property owner wants to submit a letter of

map revision, which application requires a community endorsement, which is not a final approval, but general endorsement from the local governing body. Davis asked what would happen to the property across the street southward. Brockway said that property would be Zone X, which is similar to the 500 year flood zone. Mayor McBryant asked if a public hearing should be held. Shay said it is not Hailey's map that is being revised. Williamson felt no public hearing is required. Shay said she had contacted three property owners on the fringe of this area, to inform them of this matter. Keirn said the question is somewhat academic, since nature decides truly what is in the floodplain. Brown agreed, but felt the neighbors should be informed. Brockway said FEMA requires a notification process when the level of flood plain is changed, which this will do. Shay asked who an appeal would be made to. Brockway said the appeal would be to FEMA. Davis said he doesn't favor taking one property out of the floodplain to the detriment of others, but he agreed with Brown that we need to use the latest technology to determine the flood plain.

Brown moved to endorse the application to FEMA for flood plain revision. Keirn seconded; the motion carried unanimously.

NORTHRIDGE IX PARK APPRAISAL

Becki Keefer said that in 2003 Northridge IX applied for subdivision, and offered a lot for the purpose of park space on the corner of Second and McKercher. The entire Northridge area had a development agreement that 7 acres at the middle school would be considered the entire parks contribution for the entire subdivision. Northridge IX included some lots that were outside of the original annexed area, and those lands are what triggered the need for park space. The lot at the corner of Second and McKercher was considered inadequate in configuration for park space, and the council asked instead for voluntary in lieu park contributions. An appraisal is required under such circumstances to set the in lieu fee amount. The appraisal wasn't completed until this past November. There is nothing wrong with the appraisal in terms of methodology, except it goes awkward because the appraiser was told to base it on the inadequately configured space. The appraised value of that lot dropped substantially because of its configuration, and doesn't represent the norm of the subdivision. Keefer recommended that not the final conclusions of the appraised amount on that particular parcel, but the general appraisal information, be used to determine the value of 6.6 acres in Northridge.

Williamson said his recommendation is to neither accept nor deny the appraisal; instead, he would like to talk with the attorney, Evan Robertson, to try to reach an agreement on dollar amount. **Davis moved to table the matter.** Keirn seconded; the motion carried unanimously.

COUNCIL/MANAGER FORM OF GOVERNMENT

Williamson said Al Lindley's request that Hailey adopt a council/manager form of government proposes a dramatic shift in Hailey's form of government. There are three cities within Idaho that have the council/manager form of government. He outlined what this measure would mean to Hailey. The city council has designated seats which are up

for election every four years. In the council/manager form of government, a manager would be appointed by the five council members, and would serve at the pleasure of the council. The administrative functions of the city would shift from mayor to manager. The mayor would no longer have veto power, but would have a vote as a council member. The mayor's duties would be ceremonial.

Williamson said one method of establishing this new form of government is by resolution of the council to set up a special election. A 3 person vote would be required to adopt the resolution. Another method is through an initiative petition, which allows legislation by the voters. The petition must be signed by 20% of the number of voters at last election, now 17 people after the low voter turnout at Hailey's last uncontested election. If voters approved of the new form of government, another election would be held within 60 days at which all the council members would be up for office.

Dawson gave statistics about the three cities in Idaho that utilize the council/manager form of government, as they compare to Hailey. These details are in the Agenda Item Summary.

Brown asked if a city manager would sign checks, hire and fire staff, and carry out all the administrative functions of the city. Williamson said the council can designate one council person to be mayor, and that mayor would sign contracts. The position becomes ceremonial. Keirn asked what is the cost of an election. Dawson confirmed that each election costs approximately \$3000.

Davis referred to the minutes of a council workshop held on October 30, 2004, during which the council had discussed some pros and cons of a council/manager form of government. He quoted Ken Harwood of the Association of Idaho Cities, who had brought his expertise to that workshop, as saying the city administrator option is the best of both worlds (council/manager and strong mayor). It is the culture of Idaho to elect the governing officer of the city. Stabilization often does not occur when cities change their form of government.

Brown said it is evident to her when she talks to people that they don't know what the council/manager form of government is; they think she means a city administrator. A huge education process would be required up front to lay out the pros and cons of such legislation.

Burke said the mayor and council are the most responsive leaders of a community because everything they say is judged by the electorate in the voting forum. This mayor and council are working hard to do our jobs. At some point the city should begin to budget for a city administrator again. She personally was against any form of democracy where a CEO is not responsible to its citizens.

Keirn said in a town this size and with Hailey's make-up, citizens expect us to be responsible for the city's management. He did research for the City of Boise, and looked at Reno, a town with the council/manager form of government. They have wards there, a

system which gets complicated, and there are 4 employee unions, and the city administration is involved in coordinating those elements. Hailey doesn't have such complex elements.

Davis said if it's not broke, don't fix it. He felt we need to move toward another administrator as an assistant to the mayor, but the mayor needs to be responsible to the citizens. We don't have the money to hire a manager right now, and, if it's such a great form of government, why do only three cities use it? It's been our experience that when you try to remove an employee, it gets quite costly. He finds few pros and a lot of cons. If citizens were upset at how city is being run now, there would have been a contested election last November.

Keirn said factoring the cost of living is important in considering hiring costs. Hailey would be looking at \$125,000 annual salary plus benefits.

Brown said if we suddenly hear from our citizens on this matter, which she has not, she'll listen. She sees no compelling need for a change. The council concurred that the direction to take on this matter is not to take any action.

LOCAL OPTION TAX

Mayor McBryant said the purpose of this public hearing is to meet an aggressive schedule to allow public dialogue on a proposed local option tax, and to get ample feedback during the process in order to meet an intended May ballot date.

Dawson introduced the process, explaining the types, rates and terms of taxes which had been proposed by an ad hoc committee last June and confirmed by the council in a recent workshop.

Jim Spinelli said Sun Valley Online did a limited survey, and the largest amount of response is that people want more information. Some things are broken and need to be fixed. The city is spreading its dollars thin with fire and police and emergency services. Davis said a fact sheet is being designed to give consistent information to citizens about this matter. Spinelli said the South Valley Merchants' Association is in favor of this tax for the purposes intended, and will help to try to market this and get it passed. He said the criticism he has received so far was for not including general retail sales as a taxable item. Davis noted that the term of the tax is proposed for 4 years.

Mayor McBryant opened the matter for public hearing. Ron Moore of 305 West Croy said when he first heard of it, he was in favor of it, and is more so now. The tax, however, should not be on retail sales. Most of the people that would be impacted are tourists, and Hailey is becoming more and more of a tourist town. He suggested considering a tax on airline tickets as well.

Al Lindley of 505 East Croy said he hasn't heard a legal opinion as to whether Hailey would qualify as an LOT community. McBryant said the city researched that matter, and

adopted a resolution with its findings. Williamson explained that such a finding is ultimately a discretionary decision of the council, and there will be findings made in the ordinance. Lindley said he had understood that the state has to approve the finding. Williamson said that is incorrect.

Becki Keefer said anything that raises funding for parks maintenance gets her vote.

There being no further public comment, the mayor closed the public hearing. Burke asked if jet fuel and private jet trade could be taxed. Keirn said airline tickets are bought somewhere else by tourists. It would be more the local people buying from our local airport.

ZONING ORDINANCE – HILLSIDE OVERLAY DISTRICT

Grotto introduced a proposed hillside overlay ordinance, and outlined some of its details. Building envelopes would be required on hillside lots. A wildlife plan has been added as an application submittal item to ensure that development will minimize impact on migration corridors. The reference to snow melt has been deleted, and an additional grade can be allowed as long as it is acceptable to the fire chief, and determined by the commission. Conditions of approval would require maintenance for three years of revegetation on disturbed slopes. A grading plan, storm drain, and erosion plan would be other required conditions.

Mayor McBryant opened the public hearing, but there were no public comments made. She commented that she liked the required building envelopes, and felt that vegetation takes 3 years to establish. Davis too liked the building envelopes, and actually hoped to eventually see building envelopes required on all lots. **He moved to adopt ordinance 934, and read the first reading by title only, finding there are no excessive costs to the public, and health and safety is not jeopardized, and that the ordinance is in conformance with the comprehensive plan,.** Keirn seconded; the motion carried unanimously.

ZONING MAP AMENDMENT – HILLSIDE OVERLAY DISTRICT

Grotto said a noticing error occurred when this matter went before the planning and zoning commission. It has been re-noticed and will be considered by the commission, and brought to the city council at the time of the zoning ordinance amendment's third reading.

SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE OVERLAY DISTRICTS, INCLUDING HILLSIDE

Grotto said the subdivision ordinance language pertaining to overlay districts mirrors the zoning ordinance language, and contains a condition that a site alteration will be pulled before any construction occurs. Brown noted that is intended that hillside cuts not occur before building permits have been approved.

Mayor McBryant opened the matter for public hearing. There were no comments.

Brown moved to approve Ordinance 935, finding there are no excessive costs to the public, and health and safety is not jeopardized, and that the ordinance is in conformance with the comprehensive plan, and this be the first reading by title only. Burke seconded; the motion carried unanimously.

PLANNING FEES – UPDATE OF FEES ORDINANCE

Grotto presented an amended planning application fees ordinance, specifically pointing out that a fee of \$400 will be required for text amendments, and fee schedules have been changed for some applications with PUD's so that the city can recoup its costs for the city attorney handling development agreement at the attorney's current hourly rate.

Mayor McBryant opened the public hearing, but there were no comments. Brown noted that these are real-time costs.

Davis moved that the council adopt ordinance 936, and proceed with the first reading by title only. Keirn seconded; the motion carried unanimously.

2005/2006 BUDGET AMENDMENT

Dawson introduced the proposed budget amendment, which had been noticed for public hearing following its tentative adoption by the city council in December, 2005.

Mayor McBryant opened the public hearing.

Al Lindley said there is little long-range planning, and an inconsistency in the long range planning budget. There is no budget for replacement of vehicles and no emergency funds. He said there have been no efforts made to build up a fund for five years. There is no planning for the cost of items such as painting this building.

There were no other public comments.

Brown noted that the city has an extensive capital improvement plan. McBryant said when she took over as mayor there was a capital improvement plan, but no funds to fund it. Brown complimented all the staff for their departmental savings. Dawson noted that the savings in the Finance department have been earmarked for funding the Tischler impact fee study, which the council had contracted for but had previously been unfunded. Mayor McBryant and the council again thanked staff for their work. **Keirn moved to adopt the amended appropriation ordinance;** Davis seconded; the motion carried unanimously.

WATER ORDINANCE REVISIONS

City Engineer Tom Hellen introduced some draft changes to the section of Hailey Municipal Code governing water and sewer regulation. Brown didn't like the term "forcibly annexed property", and preferred "city-initiated annexed property". McBryant suggested that requirements for annexed property to be hooked up come sooner than a set number of years upon the sale of the property. The ordinance revisions included a change in how accessory dwelling units and multifamily units are billed, by the size of service rather than the number of units. Irrigation systems would be set up by the water department. Hellen said he had changed the concept of equivalent connections, using the concept of a connection fee for a ¾ inch service, and then a multiplier based on the size of the service. A hotel would therefore pay a higher hookup fee, and later a higher user rate as well. He said a water user base charge would be established, meant to cover the fixed cost of the department. Circuit breaker and single occupant savings still exist. He had eliminated the irrigation charge, and changed that to the metered water rate charge based on a per/1000 gallon use. A sewer charge would be recommended based on water use. The connection fee formula currently uses bond amounts in its formula, and he wanted to eliminate the bond principal from the formula. Brown asked if numbers have been run to see how the annual rates are affected. Hellen suggested increasing turn-off fees to \$50.00, from \$25.00, saying he doesn't want to charge for temporary turn-offs. The ordinance should give discretion to the Public Works manager to waive fees based on leaks. Hyde said the idea is to credit consumers who immediately respond to different non-conservation elements, such as leaks. Hellen said he hoped to maintain the 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. prohibition on irrigation, but wanted to remove the odd/even day restriction.

Brown asked if Fire Chief Chapman had been consulted about switching from odd/even restrictions.

Mayor McBryant opened the public hearing. Al Lindley asked if inspection of all irrigation systems would occur. Hellen said it's a cross-connection annual check program, to assure that backflow device failure doesn't occur. Lindley liked basing the fee on the value of the system, rather than the depreciation of the system. Hyde said certified professionals would do the cross-connection inspection, and would file reports on the systems with Hailey. McBryant wanted a quantifiable reason for a discretionary waiver. Hyde said the time for leak detection is quantifiable. McBryant didn't want any waivers upon initial start up, if the customer is surprised at how much their initial metered bill is, the city should not give credit for that reason.. Hyde talked about Hailey getting involved in irrigation system start up. Brown said the education process started when meter usage started showing on the bills.

Following a question by Gary Stivers, Hellen said there are 2400 meters installed, and about 700 left to go. Most of those are in the Woodside subdivision, and in apartment complexes scattered about town.

HOOKUP FEES AND WATER METER RATES

Hellen displayed the connection fee formula results, showing increasing connection fees based on size of service. He displayed different rate formulas, driven by variable and fixed costs. Davis said it makes sense to penalize heavy users. Irrigation fees were shown in these formulas as well. Brown asked how an inch of water per week is in terms of gallons. He recommended lower monthly base rate and higher consumption rate, which would give savings to conservative users.

Mayor McBryant opened the public hearing. Al Lindley asked if comparisons were made to cities of comparable size. Lindley asked if the engineer is satisfied that Hailey could maintain our system with these rates. Hellen said he is satisfied.

Jim Hill objected to the word punish. He said if everyone did conserve, will the revenue be adequate for what is budgeted, or will the city have to readjust the rates. Hellen said the rate is based on anticipated conservation. Hill asked what happens if revenue needed for the budget is not generated by the rate. Hellen said there is some fund balance to carry us through the transition, giving us time to adjust the fees up or down depending upon whether conservation is higher or lower than anticipated.

There being no further public comment, **Brown moved to continue this matter to February 13, 2005.** Keirn seconded; the motion carried unanimously.

2ND READING ORDINANCE 933 – Mayor McBryant read by title only.

3RD READING ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE – 932

Davis distributed more petitions to retain the leash law. Brown said if she saw the ordinance we initially had being enforced, she would be willing to adopt stricter regulations. Keirn said the animal control officer isn't on duty on the weekends.

Brown moved to adopt the ordinance, and to waive the three readings and read by title only. Keirn seconded; the motion carried unanimously.

Keirn amended the motion to waive three readings. Davis seconded; the motion carried unanimously.

STATE HIGHWAY 75 DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Brown said she has been working in an effort to identify common areas among different cities, and participate in a multi-jurisdictional comment letter. A draft of such letter was in the packet, and that letter then has been substantially revised based on comments from Tom Hellen. Brown said all of us want to find a way to reclaim our Main Street. Hellen said three lane corridors should not be considered as a way to achieve the goal. Brown said she is not arguing for three lanes, she is looking for a system by which to view alternatives. She said she is willing to consider “three lane corridors or other

configurations”. She said if we don’t get on record now, we will lose a lot of opportunity. We need to get these placeholders established now. She asked for approval to finalize the letter and put the mayor’s signature on with other jurisdictions.

Davis said he is comfortable with the letter if the city engineer is comfortable. Keirn said limiting new access onto Highway 75 is important. Brown said there is a public hearing on Thursday, and the jurisdictions want to submit this joint letter in the public hearing. Brown said this would be an opportunity for our constituents to know our stance. Burke said there is nothing new here; it is a joint voice. Brown said ITD would love to hear a consolidated voice. Beth Callister suggested some minor edits.

Brown moved to allow signature of this joint letter, understanding that minor edits are being finalized. Burke seconded; the motion carried unanimously.

CUTTERS ANNEXATION WORKSHOP

Mayor McBryant said she, Don Keirn, and the city attorney have met with Cutters representatives to consider negotiations toward an annexation agreement. Cutters has always known that the city has the authority to ask for certain contributions. The city set as its issues those that had come out in the public hearing prior to that meeting, and reiterated what the city would expect. Density is problematic, and the Management Partners study is primarily intended to be a basis for annexation fees, not a maximum. Keirn had mentioned a \$3 million annexation fee in the public hearing, as well as the value of water rights, sidewalks, and land. It became evident that the city was asking for sums and contributions that the applicant wasn’t comfortable offering. We took a break, and the applicant wanted to meet expeditiously again on this matter, so this workshop had been scheduled.

Williamson said the applicant wanted to offer \$2 million, and the city wanted \$3 million. The applicant had proposed instead of park expansion near the canal, they develop three large lots in that area, and in turn would offer up to \$3.2 million dollars. Those 3 lots raised the number of units to 149, and then the applicant had agreed to keep those executive lots, and reduce the total density to 146, but only pay \$3 million. Speck said we can’t talk about the money unless we know what the density will be. Mayor McBryant asked what is the lowest density the developer would propose.

John Campbell said he can propose a lower density plan of 113 lots, with 132 units instead of 146 units. Instead of 24 community housing units, it would have 10 community housing units (half deeded, half Telluride). Pasadena adopted a 15% community housing ordinance, but allowed a transition period for applicants over the first 12 months at 6%. He would still have 122 market rate units. They offered \$2 million on the former proposal, and \$1,808,000 on the new scenario. The city does benefit from required elements, such as parks and building permit fees. He had allocated a certain cash benefit to these requirements and added them to the annexation fee component for a total benefit sum of \$7,149,500 under the 146 unit plan, and \$6,766,500 under the 132 unit plan.

Brown asked if community housing elements of the ordinance were taken into consideration. Campbell said 20% community housing is required by Hailey's ordinance, but he is proposing an 8% interim percentage (like Pasadena's). Keirn said the community housing ordinance is in place. McBryant said the financial study that was done was simply a base, so she is very uncomfortable being tied to the number of lots. The study was simply information for the council to take into consideration in determining the details of the annexation agreement. The park, roads, and building permits are required. Steve Brown said these are benefits that the city gets. Brown said the county is going to get those building permit fees if the project develops in the county; those are not a benefit, but a payment for a service. McBryant said if the building isn't occurring within the city, the city won't incur the expense of approving those plans and that project.

Speck summarized that the fee is \$3.8 million, if water rights and fire land is given to the city. Brown said what she wished had happened when we were given the financial report, and at the end heard our staff say what didn't get factored in, we should have immediately asked for an update of the report. Speck said the report did get updated, with some components of future capital improvements. Brown said she needs to be able to look every citizen in the face and say the city has met its responsibility. McBryant said no credit was given for water rights in other annexations, including the school district and Airport West. Campbell said the Management Partners study said this development will pay for itself. Keirn said residential housing is always a loser.

Speck noted that Hailey would have to amend the community housing ordinance. Brown said she personally had a problem with developing on the east side of the canal. She asked if there is a configuration for the three lots on the west side; Campbell response was not one that's worth a million bucks. Speck asked if the applicant didn't develop the executive lots, would some credit be given against the \$3 million annexation fees. Brown said what the city does well is density, and she would be very surprised if the county allowed that kind of density on our borders. Speck said the county would give them 130 lots.

Davis said we're not going to get anywhere when we're in an adversarial atmosphere. He said we are charging annexation fees on a per unit basis, and then we're asking for additional housing units. He had trouble asking for both annexation fees and community housing. McBryant said she had previously expressed that the community housing ordinance is too exacting. Williamson said we have present ordinances, as a reality. Brown said the Telluride option gives a 10% density bonus, and in the BKCHA model there's a 20% density bonus. Speck said when zoning is applied, the base density will be about 250 units (that's the number against which Hailey would be calculating a density bonus). He was willing to wait and have that process work its way through.

Campbell said about a year ago, the council said it would be a nice neighborhood. He felt the city liked it because it had a hand in shaping the proposal. The city felt its fiscal responsibility, and therefore we paid for a study. We're trying to get a grip on what's

happening, and Airport West got charged the fee in the study they paid for. Instead of charging the fee in our study, you want to charge us twice as much. The study clearly points out that all you have to charge us is \$1.3 million dollars, because the remainder is based on future capital improvements. We've got offers on the table for twice as much money. He is disappointed that the negotiations have fallen apart because of a disagreement over the size of the profit the city is going to make. McBryant said the city is not seeking annexation, and wants to experience a gain for effecting such a change. At the last meeting, the council had given the applicant out after the 3 million dollar figure had been put out. They didn't take the out, so whose time is being expensed here? She said she has to keep these comments out there. We've been very forthcoming.

Burke said the applicant is trying to show us what benefits the city – roads are the subdivision's, not the city's. She said we need to take off the list the benefits that are really requirements. The applicant was sitting in the room when we recognized the deficiencies of the report. Campbell said all those deficiencies were based on future capital. Brown and Burke said why didn't they state their disagreement at that time. Speck said he did. Brown said that was a memo handed out that night.

Burke said every incremental addition to the community bears a cost, and the costs of our firefighters and police officers will have to be paid. We are asking the applicant to provide something different than Airport West was asked to provide. We are clearly disagreeing about that dollar value. Everyone in this community hates density. Sit on this side of the table and explain to the community how this benefited them.

Speck asked if this isn't largely accounted for in the Management Partners Report. McBryant said Dawson had identified two additional costs that the city is currently struggling with that represent a million dollars. We would get the base fees, we would also be able to achieve a goal that we've set. We want a tangible benefit that can justify the annexation. The benefit to the city has to be something the city needs – there's a nexus there; we annexed and we solved a problem. Speck said we disagreed that we shouldn't get any credit for the land. McBryant said the development should provide its own irrigation. Speck wanted credit for water rights and additional land given to the city. Williamson said there is a six-year difference between the Tischler study and the Management Partners study. Airport West needed greater density than Cutters. The most important considerations here are sewer and water connections. Does the city want private septic systems, does the applicant want the cost of septic systems?. The dollar amounts were pretty close. Brown said they got a five-time density increase, and that allows a huge value to pay for fees.

Davis asked if the council is interested in starting at \$3 million and giving credit for a land donation. McBryant said the credit was given for the land at Airport West, but it was land which the city could sell. This property would not be able to be sold. Davis said he would be interested in the appraised value of RGB zoned property. Davis felt it was worth pursuing. Burke said the next issue is that now we have land that not only has to be developed as a park, but has to be maintained as well.

McBryant appointed Brown and Burke to continue in a meeting, if Cutters wants to continue. Speck said they do want to continue.

REPORTS

Brown said the council needs to discuss how mutual aid agreements are put to the test.

Davis said he had heard that the Idaho National Guard armories are all going to be consolidated in Boise, and the National Guard may be going to give the Hailey armory to the city.

Ron Moore spoke representing Rotarun, which he said is in the sphere of Hailey's influence. Mayor said expense of the council's discretionary funds should be put on in the future as a new business item.

Al Lindley requested that the public comment item be put on the first part of the agenda.

There being no further business, the Mayor adjourned the meeting at 9:50 p.m.

Susan McBryant, Mayor

Heather Dawson, City Clerk