

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE
HAILEY CITY COUNCIL
HELD MARCH 22, 2010
IN THE HAILEY TOWN CENTER MEETING ROOM**

The Meeting of the Hailey City Council was called to order at 5:34 P.M. by Council President Fritz Haemmerle. Present were Council members Carol Brown, Don Keirn, and Martha Burke. Staff present included City Attorney Ned Williamson, City Administrator Heather Dawson, and City Clerk Mary Cone.

Mayor Davis not in attendance of tonight's meeting.

AIRPORT ISSUES:

Susan McBryant let the council know that over the last 10 days Commissioner Tom Bowman, Airport Manager Rick Baird and Martha Burke have flown to Washington D.C. to speak with our elected officials regarding the relocation of the airport. Those meetings went well and the progress is satisfactory. McBryant has some concerns with the new Airport Advisory Committee. This advisory committee has committed to being transparent, but to date this has not occurred. McBryant is still waiting for a copy of their minutes of their last meeting. Rick Baird listened to the minutes this afternoon and there have been comments made that indicates the Advisory Committee is trying to remove the City of Hailey from decisions that are being made. McBryant brings this to council's attention because she feels all decisions need to continue to be made by the Authority not by the Advisory Committee. The Advisory Committee is close to a point where they will begin incurring costs. How does Hailey want McBryant and Burke to handle this situation regarding the expenses? McBryant informs council of several additional concerns she has including the Advisory Committee has future plans to hold public hearings, travel and she is not sure how these expenses will be financed and by whom. Finally, McBryant comments that the Advisory Committee has taken on the task of looking at the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) and wanted the council to be aware of this too.

Brown asked if council should have a special workshop meeting to discuss this topic with the county to discuss expenditures by this committee and direction of the committee. McBryant has plans to attend the next Advisory Committee meeting. Dawson will schedule the special meeting for council.

Haemmerle is concerned with these comments as the primary purpose of this Advisory Committee is to aid in the relocation of the airport and it does not seem that they are committed to this goal.

Before moving to the Consent Agenda, Haemmerle asked for a motion from council to accept the amended agenda.

Motion to approve the amended agenda adding PP 126 by Keirn, seconded by Burke, motion passed unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA:

CA 106 Motion to approve and authorize the Council President to sign the 2010 4th of July Fireworks Contract..... 1
CA 107 Motion to approve and ratify Mayor’s signature on Contract for Renewable Energy Enterprise Zone
Grant received from the State of Idaho Office of Energy Resources 11
CA 008 Motion to authorize signatures for the 2012 Safe Routes to School Grant Application (completion of
sidewalk along Elm St. from alley between River St. and Main St. to intersection of Elm St. and 1st
Ave..... 45
CA 109 Motion to authorize and ratify Mayor’s signature on Arbor Day Grant application..... 85
CA 110 Motion to approve Special Event at the Blaine County Museum Grand Opening of “Journey Stories” a
Smithsonian Exhibit September 3, 2010 6:30-9:00 pm and authorize the Council President to sign..... 89
CA 111 Motion to approve Summary of Ordinance No. 1050 and authorize the City Attorney to sign..... 101
~~CA 112 Motion to approve minutes of March 8, 2010 and to suspend reading of them 103~~
CA 113 Motion to approve minutes from joint meeting with Blaine County Commissioners February 11, 2010 and to
suspend reading of them..... 113
CA 114 Motion to approve claims for expenses incurred during the month of February 2010..... 117

Burke pulls CA 112 to abstain.

Burke moved to approve all consent agenda items minus CA 112, seconded by Keirn, motion passed unanimously.

Burke was not in attendance in the March 8th meeting, she pulled this item to abstain from this vote.

Brown moved to approve all consent agenda item CA 112, seconded by Keirn motion passed unanimously. Burke abstaining.

PROCLAMATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS:

PP 115 A presentation by Brian Bennett on the Home Energy Rating System (HERS) energy audit results from a demonstration conducted on March 10, 2010

Brian Bennett spoke to council about the recent audit he performed on Brown’s house while showing a presentation. Bennett gave an overview of the audit itself and some of the tools used in collecting data. Bennett announced that Refrigeration is often the 2nd largest expense in any home.

Bennett gave comparisons of the two audits he performed. Lower than 100 is better than code higher than 100 is worse than code and would be given efficiency upgrades to lower the HERS score. One house was rated 119 (Brown’s house) and 141 for the other house Bennett audited. At the end of the HERS audit, the homeowner is given a report which has suggested improvements and their cost savings (Return on Investment calculations). At the end of a HERS audit, homeowners are given a list of recommended actions, cost of improvements and approximate savings over time.

Haemmerle asked how much an audit costs. Bennett gave a cost of approximately \$450 for a house under 4,000 square feet.

The sustainable building and planning committee is looking at proposing to council a recommendation at the 2nd April meeting.

PP 126 Presentation from Mountain Rides Transportation on the proposed circular bus route

Jim Finch Operations Manager with Mountain Rides spoke to council. April 5 is the beginning date for this new commuter bus which will run for 9 hours each weekday. Finch mentioned that with the fixed route service there is a service called on-demand service with at least 24 hours notice. This new service is free and will be a 14 passenger vehicle. Haemmerle asked if the future routes are flexible. Finch answered yes, it will be modified as needs are identified with passengers.

Council President Haemmerle moved the order of the agenda.

NEW BUSINESS:

NB 125 Consideration of 2nd Amendment to Franchise Agreement to extend the term for an additional 2 years

Williamson gave council an overview. The current franchise expires April 11, 2010. The present agreements provide for extensions. Williamson suggested other security options may be taken into consideration besides just a performance bond, such as a letter of credit or cash equivalent.

Brown moves to approve the 2nd Amendment to Franchise Agreement with Clear Creek Disposal for another 2 years and authorize the council president to sign, seconded by Keirn, motion passed unanimously.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

Haemmerle moved the order of the agenda and will discuss PH 118 next.

PH 118 An application by Hartland Development Company, LLC for annexation of Lot 1, Block 1, Stevens Family Ranch, LLC Subdivision (70 Broadford Road). The property comprises approximately 21.81 acres and lies generally west of Airport West Subdivision and south of Della View/Broadford Estates Subdivision. The property is now largely undeveloped within Blaine County and zoned Residential - 1 acre lots (R-1) and Flood Plain (FP). A future residential subdivision of up to 94 units and General Residential (GR) zoning is proposed. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommends denial of this application (continued from Jan 25, Feb 22 and March 8, 2010)

Robrahn Hailey Planning Director gave an overview of the history of this application and a general recap of the proposed development. Robrahn then spoke about additions to the staff

report since last presented to council. In tonight's packet there were public comments received from the following individuals: Mary Hogan, Peter Lobb, Scott Boettger, and Parks & Lands Board recommendation and comments received after the packet was created and sent via email to council include: William Miles, Mary Austin Crofts, Summer Bauer, Robbie Freund, and Jeff Pfaeffle's letter which was published in last Friday's newspaper. Comments received today and handed out to council are from: Carrie Norton, Lisa Scales, Nate Scales and Susan McBryant.

Haemmerle asked council if they wanted to disclose any ex parte discussions they may have had with anyone regarding this subject.

Brown disclosed that she has participated on site visits to this property (trail) but not recently. Also, Mary Austin Crofts called Brown, she did not express her opinions to Brown on the phone but her (Crofts) letter clarifies her stance on the topic. Brown forwarded emails to Planning and those names were mentioned by Robrahn a few minutes ago.

Keirn has participated on sites visits through the years by the river and in close proximity to this property but not on this actual site.

Burke disclosed that has been on this site several times since 1970 (approx. 4 times) not since the application has been submitted though. Burke received calls from Rich Gouley, Stefanie Marvel and Jane McGrew all whom expressed their opposition to the annexation application, discussed density issues, traffic and shared their thoughts on the letter from Pfaeffle which they viewed as blackmail and/or extortion.

Keirn also disclosed a conversation with Ronile Robinson who expressed similar comments as what Burke received.

Haemmerle read Mr. Pfaeffle's letter which was published in the newspaper and read Mr. Boettger's letter in the paper.

Haemmerle offered to give the applicant time to provide comments on their view on the Comprehensive Plan. Pfaeffle, the applicant, spoke to council. First Pfaeffle spoke about density; he wishes that the application was considered as a location instead of such focus on the density (Pfaeffle is not "married" to the density). Another note of importance is the proposed 17 acre parcel as a gift to the City, added Pfaeffle. Lastly, forced annexation was proposed by the city and Pfaeffle approached the individuals himself at the city's suggestion. Pfaeffle thinks they (the 4 forced annexation parcels) should be able to stay in the county.

Jim Laski spoke to council. Laski anticipates that council has had a chance to read the comments he submitted just prior to the last meeting (reason why item was continued to this meeting).

With respect to the issue of the ultimatum given (published in the paper)...it was the converse of what is actually being offered in this application. Laski feels it was not an ultimatum, Laski hopes that council will come to the same conclusion at he has and send the application back to the Planning & Zoning Commission for the next steps.

Public comments:

Susan Martin of 213 Broadford Highlands spoke to council. Martin finds it hard to believe that Kaz Thea (who performed the wildlife study) did not find any wildlife other than mice on this property. Anyone that lives in the area can surmise that this is not true. The developer did not feel that larger parcels were appropriate, Martin disagrees with this approach. High density is appropriate within the city limits, not in this area added Martin as this would create urban sprawl.

William Miles of 71 Broadford Road feels that the whole subject is very confusing he thinks this should be spelled out clearer for others to understand what the intentions are of the developer.

Gina Lagergren of 215 E. Myrtle in Hailey has been enjoying the foot path along the river for 30 years and would like to continue using it. Lagergren doesn't understand how allowing this to remain a walking path will cost the developer money. Lagergren knows that the lower portion of the property due to be given to the City floods every year (at least parts of the property). Lagergren asks the Stevens Family a question – are they trying to just make money from something that does not have much worth? Lagergren asks why the Doctor stated what he did; doesn't his medical oath transfer over to his personal life? Lagergren asks if they want to be annexed into the city, how can they be so rude and blackmail the City.

Robert Lowe of 1111 Broadford Road (for 25 years) asks a question to council. He has used this path as has his family. Past annexation fees should have paid for repairing the road (Broadford Road), this has not happened yet. Before another annexation is considered this must be figured out.

Ted Graham of 241 W. Cedar is an attorney and is currently President of Cedar Bend homeowners association. Graham vigorously opposes this annexation; he can't see any other reason than pure profit by the owners. Graham offered a solution for the use of the trail; prescriptive easement is a way for the public to continue using this trail.

Krista Gehrke's family lives at 230 Broadford Road and she can attest to wildlife being on this property as a mountain lion ate the dog there. Gehrke is concerned that the Wood River Land Trust (WRLT) is supporting this application because they are getting property, if they were not receiving land would they still be in support of this annexation asked Gehrke.

Michael Cronin of 1321 Queen of the Hills spoke to council about his concerns. Cronin's first concern is flooding as was the primary reason for the first application being denied. Traffic is Cronin's next concern as at the intersection of Cedar and Highland is very dangerous. Cronin wonders if this annexation is compatible with the Comprehensive Plan.

Mary Austin Crofts of 441 Della Vista spoke to council. Crofts comments are based on recreation. Over the years people have trespassed on this private property and the owner has put up with this. From a planning perspective, Crofts believes it is really important that the city work with the developers to find a solution to keep this trail open to the public.

Nathan Welch representing Citizens for Smart Growth is a Hailey resident. Welch emphasizes that the Comprehensive Plan provides guidelines on how the city can grow. In this case, it would be helpful if the developer would put together a development plan and present it to council and the community. Welch shares some similar concerns expressed tonight regarding impact to Broadford Road, differences between developing in the City versus the County with regards to the sewer and septic services and the impacts to the ground water. Finally, Welch would like to know where the proposed trail is located that the developer is offering.

Lois Sorenson-Meyer of 1131 Silver Star spoke to council. Meyer has heard many thoughtful comments shared tonight and she believes there is a way to work this out. Meyer is still confused with many aspects and would agree more information from the developer would help.

Sean Flynn of 1121 Broadford Road spoke to council. Flynn is not opposed to an owner developing his/her property. However, Flynn is against inappropriate density as proposed in this application because of the traffic. Flynn feels this should be developed by the county and using the county density allowances. Flynn expressed that this property floods, he's seen it flood – this is a life safety concern.

Jane McGrew of 1140 Queen of the Hills hates to think we will lose this land.

Kerry York of 541 Aspen Drive in Hailey and is representing the Wood River Land Trust (WRLT) tonight. York clarifies that the 17 acres proposed to give to the City, is currently under a conservation easement with the WRLT and it is not available for public access. York asks the council to look at the value of public space and access and work with the developer. Also the annexation application does not automatically force annex the 2 parcels. York believes that showing where this proposed new trail is located would be helpful to a lot of people.

Shelly Braatz of 229 Broadford Highlands feels that this application is inappropriate. The wildlife researcher did not do a good job she attests to lots of wildlife in this area. Also, the development should be appropriate (county) to its location. Braatz believes that it should not be developed through the city.

Jill Bryson lives on Colorado Gulch and has lived in her house since 1974. Bryson disagrees with people and the use of this trail. Don the previous owner did not think he should keep people off of the property. Bryson feels the property is undeveloped, not largely undeveloped as mentioned in the newspaper article. Bryson adds there is a great deal of wildlife on the property. Bryson disagrees with Pfaeffle's article, and feels the density is inappropriate. Lastly, Bryson believes that the developer has some rights to develop something on his property.

Jeff Adams of 2445 Winterhaven spoke to council. Adams appreciates the council's service. Adams feels the Comprehensive Plan should specify where the growth should be and the order of the growth. Adams feels that the citizens should work with the City and the County to define the Comprehensive Plan so that we can all be a part of the growth of our community. Adams does not believe this is high density 4/acre, Sweetwater has a density of 15/acre.

Beth Duke of 641 Eastridge in Hailey spoke to council. Duke agrees with York, a map should be displayed to everyone to help us understand what is proposed.

Comments from the applicant:

Jeff Pfaeffle spoke to some of the comments made tonight. There are no homes designed in the floodplain, the original application had plans for homes in the floodplain. Pfaeffle pointed to a map showing where the 17 acre parcel is located.

Jim Laski comments: Many people have concerns with roads; the applicant is assuming that the roads would have to be fixed. Laski mentions that “prescriptive easement” is not an option in Idaho. Laski feels that they are willing to work with people on issues, such as density. They are willing to discuss this with anyone to come to some resolution. Laski hopes that further discussion is allowed. Laski believes this application is in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan and hopes that they can move forward with this application. Pfaeffle points to a better map of the Stevens family property.

Brown asks Robrahn a procedural question about the motions. Robrahn clarifies that the council should make their own findings of fact; she reads the ordinance from the Comprehensive Plan. Brown asks a question to council, she is a neighbor to this property, are they comfortable in her taking part in this application. Brown feels that she can be neutral on this topic. Williamson does not feel Brown has a conflict.

Haemmerle asks Williamson a question of the forced annexation 4 separate parcels in question along Broadford Road. Williamson believes if this property were annexed these 4 parcels in question would be forced to annex into the City. Williamson feels that prescriptive easement would not be an option as he has personal experience with it and has taken this question to the Supreme Court.

Burke believes the applicable sections outlined by staff were great; some sections carry more weight than others in her opinion. Our citizens expect a compact community, greater density in the core. Burke feels infill should be considered highly. Does this application make sense financially for the City? Burke is basing her comments (referring to pages 205-208 in packet) on the following sections of the Comprehensive Plan. The sections of the Comprehensive Plan which address a compact community are 5.4, 5.4.1, and 5.6.2 c. The discouragement of sprawl is addressed in the growth management Section 12.0; Burke read from the Comprehensive Plan, bottom of page 2. Burke cited Sections 12.1, 12.1.2 12.1.3 & 13.1 as addressing infill. Burke feels that this application when referring to this section is ill-timed because of the market downturn and large residential inventory available in Hailey. Section 10.1.3 to ensure an inner-connective community; Section 10.1.5 also discourages sprawl. Burke understands that the application proposes 4 units/acre and compared to Hailey’s density now is high.

Robrahn clarifies with our 3 residential zones currently allowed the density for GR is 7 units/acre 6,000 square foot lots (or 10 units/acre for multi-family), in LR1 the minimum lot size is 8,000 square feet which is 5 units/acre, in LR2 the minimum lot size is 12,000 square feet and 4 units/acre. If averaged, we are at approximately 1.3 units/acre if total acreage in the City is

considered. All land in residential areas = 2 units/acre, which is well below our maximum added Robrahn.

Burke continues with her comments. This project does not help Hailey become sustainable in the future. As for the forced annexation, there will be times that it is appropriate, this is not the time she sees as appropriate. If this development were great, Burke reads an excerpt from this letter (from page 215 in packet) and compares it to the letter in the paper (letters written in completely different tones). Burkes feels like this is a threat and a strategic error by the developer. If reviewing the application without looking at the easements and the trails the project does not have merit.

Keirn agrees with Burkes comments. Hailey needs infill within the city, and agrees with Burke that residential housing does not pay for itself - the last thing we need to do is add more stress to the city services. On forced annexation, it is not a compelling need in this case. Keirn thinks we should wait until the airport property is rezoned (redevelopment of airport property).

Brown understands the value of the walking trail but respects the rights of the property owner. The trail was not considered in Brown's thought process, like Burke. If this were in the city it should look like the city, the county should look like the county. Brown expressed several concerns including the single access road. And like Burke, Brown feels that the application is ill-timed. Brown cited Sections of the Comprehensive Plan supporting her view of not in favor of this application, 12.1.2 and 12.1.6

Haemmerle has identified Sections of the Comprehensive Plan which weigh against this application 5.6.2, 5.7, 5.7.1, and 8.1. Haemmerle believes that these sections do not support the Comprehensive Plans goals and is not in favor of this application. Building out Broadford Road may happen in the future but not now. Haemmerle stated that governments do not respond to ultimatums. Mr. Stevens every right to deny public access, and if he does so then it is Stevens that denies the public access.

Brown moves that the proposed application for annexation of Lot 1, Block 1, Stevens Family Ranch, LLC Subdivision is not harmonious and is not in accordance with specific goals and policies of applicable components of the Hailey Comprehensive Plan that are found in tonight's packet on pages 205-208 and called out by us during individual deliberations, and does not generally comply with the Hailey Comprehensive Plan, seconded by Keirn, motion passed unanimously.

Haemmerle calls for a 5 minute break at 7:34 pm.

Haemmerle resumed the meeting at 7:45 pm

PH 116 Discussion of Hailey Planning and Zoning Commissions requirements for Design Review of Hailey Rodeo Park with Architect

Nick Latham architect with RLB introduced Michael Bulls architect also with RLB and Electrical Engineer Paul Stoops with Stoops Engineering. Latham is fine with the conditions

placed on their application and complements the Planning department on their thorough review of the application.

Nathan Welch Citizens for Smart Growth gave written comments Tom Bowman. Robrahn began reading Bowman's comments to council and then stopped noticing that the letter is for the next item on the agenda (PH 117).

Dawson calls out several points relayed to her from Public Works Director Tom Hellen. Hellen would like for council to consider and propose to the Planning and Zoning Commission, underlined in council's packet tonight. First, on page 168 of packet: Item J number 1 Change at least half to "some" and second item O, page 169 in packet change to read "a public place determined by the Council to be an appropriate and cost effective location as recommended by staff.

Williamson explains to council their role as owner of this project. Dawson points to the Blaine Manor comments in council's packet.

Brown asked to slightly modify Condition O, to state "by the City" as she feels like this should be a staff decision, not council. Keirn agrees with Brown.

Brown makes a motion to accept the conditions in the Findings of Fact with the modifications mentioned tonight, seconded by Burke, motion passed unanimously.

PH 117 Discussion of Hailey Rodeo Park Fundraising campaign successes and decision about amounts and terms of potential May 25th bond measure or levy override for Hailey Rodeo Grounds/Ice Facility Project

- 1. Memorandum from Mayor*
- 2. Report from Becki Keefer, fundraising coordinator*
- 3. Report from city staff regarding funding options considered*
- 4. Report from Eric Herringer, financial advisor, detailing mayor's recommended option*
- 5. Public comment followed by council discussion and decision regarding proposed G/O bond ordinance for \$3.5 million (fill-in-blanks)*
- 6. Motion re: bond ordinance and potential first reading of bond ordinance 1054*
- 7. Consideration of agreement for bond counsel services*
- 8. Adoption of Resolution 2010-05 authorizing agreement for bond counsel services.*
- 9. Calling of special meeting on April 5, 2010 at 5:00 p.m. for 2nd reading of bond ordinance with further public comment.*

Haemmerle opened the discussion with an overview of the bond election information from the Mayor's letter dated March 16, 2010, on page 172 of packet.

Dawson gave an overview to council. There are 3 meetings for council to discuss this potential bond election. Table on page 174 of packet is in conjunction with the bond advisor's information – he is present tonight – Eric Heringer. We could essentially double the amount of the levy and still not be at our maximum bond levy.

Becki Keefer Hailey's Park Project Coordinator spoke to council. As of last Wednesday, \$81,412 donations combined with Hailey Ice, \$500,000 with several potential donors are considering making donations. Keefer gives a few reasons to move forward with the project now, interest rates are low, construction costs are low, Hailey Ice has already raised enough money to build phase 1 of the ice rink, and this is a chance for our community to create local jobs.

Eric Heringer a bond advisor for the Boise firm SNW gave his presentation to council. The Municipal Bond market has improved significantly since the fall of 2008, and the interest rate volatility has decreased. Heringer explained that the longer the bond term, the higher the interest rate, just like car notes. Heringer reviewed the assumptions for analysis in his presentation. Heringer discusses the specifics of terms, interest rates, net market value (taxable rate from 2009 actual), repayment structure and expected bond ratings. Hailey would be able to get to Aa rating by purchasing bond insurance and Heringer suggests to consider 10, 15 and 20 year repayment terms.

Brown asked if the bond could be paid off early. Heringer answered yes and no. 10 years is typically the shortest term. Longer term bonds can be defined to be shorter term, say after the first 10 years but must be defined in the beginning.

Heringer continued his presentation and explained the credit spreads for various bond ratings, AAA, AA, A. Page 6 of the presentation that Heringer gave to council in tonight's packet (inserted behind page 190 in packet). Hailey is A rated on its own, if bonded Hailey could get a AA rating. Heringer talked about the Build America Bonds (BABs) passed one year ago and how successful it has been and the reasons for its success. The beauty of the BAB is due to several reasons, no limit on issuance and no application required. The BAB provides a different way to sell bonds. Moody's may be reevaluating and modify its rating scale – today a different scale exists for corporations and municipalities. If this scale changes, then Heringer feels that Hailey will be given a better rating.

Dawson added that Hailey has been debt free since August 2008. Dawson reminded council of its actions in 2008, essentially lowering our tax rate (by not increasing our taxes the allowable percentage equivalent to \$13.37 per 100,000 tax valuation) and we lowering our water/sewer rate in 2009. Dawson believes the two actions would be offset by the bond measure.

Haemmerle reviews the general bond figures before asking for public comments.

Public Comments:

Steve Fairbrother asked a question about bonds. If interest rates go down, can you refinance the bond? Heringer answered that that is the trick with the redemption feature on bonds (aka Callable Bonds) with a 10 year term; you lose your ability to refinance if you pay it off early.

Lorna Hazelton of 34 Buttercup Road asks if more money is raised can the bond be lowered? Heringer confirmed that it is a possibility. You don't have to sell the bonds even if you have

been authorized to. Hazelton asks if the City will consider this? Haemmerle answers yes that we would like to borrow the least amount needed.

Steve Keefer of 122 Green Valley Drive has a letter from a citizen. Keefer read the letter to council from Lynn & Ted Campion. Other cities and communities are in support of this project.

Geoffrey Moore 1250 Woodside Blvd. thought the city had this amount of money already why are we considering a bond. Moore has not had a job since mid 2009 and he will not vote in favor of this bond. Moore feels to add more tax burden to this economy is not a good idea.

Dawson read Tom Bowman's letter received tonight at the meeting. Bowman's letter asks council about the management of the new rodeo grounds.

Becki Keefer Parks & Lands Board Liaison clarified the initial intent and future agreement with Hailey Ice, Sawtooth Rangers, and the skate park. The city will be in control of the park. Bill Bobbitt President of the Sawtooth Rangers spoke. Bobbitt added that nothing has been put on paper yet, that has to be worked through, there are a lot of unknowns how this will operate. Haemmerle asks how many events are run there besides 4th of July Rodeo. Bobbitt named a few events that the Sawtooth Rangers have run.

Steve Fairbrother used to be involved with the Sawtooth Rangers. Fairbrother is in support of this bond as it was cost him only about \$90 / year. This is a small amount compared to the jewel it would create for the area. Fairbrother referenced a similar project where they raised Private and Public funds and was a huge boost to the community.

Bege Reynolds on the Board of Hailey Ice spoke to council. Reynolds supports this bond measure, knowingly in challenging times.

Public comments section closed.

Burke referenced page 183 in packet. Burke would like to see a more specific document (bond election ballot) not so generic. Burke wants it to explain what we want this for, rodeo arena, skate park, and ice arena. Williamson suggested that the city speak to Michael Moore the bond attorney.

Keirn feels that time is of the essence and that we need a General Obligation (GO) bond to get some infrastructure repaired and put in place. Keirn feels this is the way to go right now.

Burke appreciates Geoffrey Moore's comments but feels that we need to offer activities free of cost to our youth. Burke also notes that this is an investment in our community and our children. Burke believes this is key to our success in the future.

Brown also supports the Mayor's suggestion for a 10 year term.

Haemmerle is not reluctant at all; he's excited about the possibility of making this a reality. This is a significant investment in our children and our community. Haemmerle states that the public will decide what they want to do by voting on May 25, 2010.

Brown moves to approve Ordinance No. 1054 for the amount of \$3.5 million over a 10 year term, and authorize the Council President to conduct the 1st Reading by title only, seconded by Keirn, motion passed unanimously.

Haemmerle conducted the 1st Reading of Ordinance No. 1054 by title only.

Haemmerle feels that the public should be notified of the coming election. In addition to the legal advertisements asked that we publish general advertisements to let the public know. Brown, Keirn and Burke are in agreement with this idea.

7. Consideration of bond counsel services

Brown moves to adopt 2010-05 Resolution and approve the agreement in packet, seconded by Keirn, motion passed unanimously.

10. Calling of special meeting on April 5, 2010

Brown moves to call a special meeting on April 5, 2010 at 5 pm for the 2nd Reading of Ordinance No. 1054, seconded by Burke, motion passed unanimously.

PH 119 A city initiated text amendment to Article 2, Definitions and Article 4.2.2, Limited Residential District, 4.3.2, General Residential District, 4.5.2 Limited Business District and 4.6.2, Transitional District, of the Hailey Zoning Ordinance No. 532. The amendments would establish definitions of urban agriculture and chicken coop and would permit limited urban agriculture in residential zoning districts. The proposed amendments have been recommended for approval by the Planning & Zoning Commission (to be noticed for April 12, 2010)

Robrahn passed out to council an article she received just this week regarding this topic.

Brown moved to continue meeting to April 12, 2010, seconded by Keirn, motion passed unanimously.

OLD BUSINESS:

OB 120 3rd Reading of Ordinance 1049 by title only and authorize Council President to sign - amending Hailey's Zoning Ordinance, Ordinance No. 532, and the Official Zoning Map incorporated therein, by changing the zoning district designation of the Hailey Zoning Map, Blocks 128 and 133, Hailey Townsite from Limited Business (LB) to Business (B)

Brown moves to approve ord. #'s 1049-1053, seconded by Keirn, motion passed unanimously.

Haemmerle conducted the 3rd Reading of Ordinance 1049 by title only.

OB 121 3rd Reading of Ordinance 1050 by title only and authorize Council President to sign - amending Title 14 Annexation procedures

Haemmerle conducted the 3rd Reading of Ordinance 1050 by title only.

OB 122 3rd Reading of Ordinance 1051 by title only and authorize Council President to sign - amending Title 5 business license ordinance

Haemmerle conducted the 3rd Reading Ordinance 1051 by title only.

OB 123 3rd Reading of Ordinance 1052 by title only and authorize Council President to sign - amending Hailey's Zoning Ordinance, Ordinance No. 532, and the Official Zoning Map incorporated therein, by changing the zoning district designation of the Hailey Zoning Map, Lots 4-10, Block 69, Hailey Townsite from General Residential (GR) to Limited Business (LB)

Haemmerle conducted the 3rd Reading of Ordinance 1052 by title only.

OB 124 3rd Reading of Ordinance 1053 by title only and authorize Council President to sign - extending garbage franchise with Clear Creek Disposal for an additional two years

Haemmerle conducted the 3rd Reading of Ordinance 1053 by title only.

With no further business Council President Haemmerle concluded the meeting at 9:15 P.M.

Rick Davis, Mayor

Mary Cone, City Clerk