

NOTICE OF A MEETING OF THE HAILEY ARTS AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Tuesday, June 9, 2020

4:00 pm

To be held virtually by GoToMeeting

From your computer, tablet or smartphone: <https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/738786917>

Dial in by phone: United States: +1 (646) 749-3112 Access Code: 738-786-917

Call to Order

New Business

- 1) Update on the Forest Service Building (No Materials) -- **Action Item**
- 2) Discussion of 2020-2021 Budget (No Materials) -- **Action Item**

Old Business, In-Progress & Status Reports

- 1) Adoption of the Meeting Minutes from March 10, 2020 -- **Action Item**

Possible Discussion Topics for next Agenda (July 14, 2020 @ 4:00PM)

Adjourn

Return to Agenda

MEETING MINUTES OF THE HAILEY ARTS AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Tuesday, March 10, 2020
City of Hailey Council Chambers at 4:00pm

Present: Susan Giannettino, Michele Johnson, Toni Whittington, Frank Rowland, Bryce Ternet

Staff: Lisa Horowitz, Robyn Davis, Brian Yeager, Stephanie Cook

Guests: Bob Birkland, Errin Bliss

Call to Order

4:02pm Johnson called the meeting to order.

New Business

- 1) Continued Discussion with BYLA/Bliss Architecture regarding the Relocation/Redesign of the Forest Service Buildings at Roberta McKercher Park (designs will be brought to the meeting) -- **Action Item**

4:05pm Birkland presented loose sketch (initial rendering) of Roberta McKercher Park with all Forest Service Building relocated to the site. Sketch included:

- A service drive, specifically for pedestrians, but would allow for trucks to drive on for vendor setup.
- Berms and play area

Second sketch and/or rendering (Phase I) included:

- Site with existing conditions, including retaining the RV dump station (Phase I)
- Future phases or BYLA's refinement of space (potential Master Plan), which does not include RV dump station
- Berms, bike paths, play area, art pieces, service drive and more

Ternet questioned whether size of buildings would remain as existing. Birkland confirmed that the sizing would remain the same. Discussion ensued.

Birkland noted that cost estimate is based on Phase I of design, as presented above. Yeager questioned how much of design was constrained due to existing restroom. Birkland noted that not much of the design was impacted. Birkland went on to discuss other design options explored.

4:22pm Bliss presented his design schemes. Bliss noted that they differences are of the elevations and exterior of building. Bliss explained that each panel could become glass with steel cross bracing to provide for structural stability. Bliss mentioned incorporating a glass barn door or barn door, which would open to move items in and out. Bliss noted that the intent would be to give the building a more contemporary look. Restrooms would also be added to

the space, as well as a play area on the east end of the building. Picnic space could also be included.

Another option includes using large windows/window panes in-lieu of glass doors, which Bliss believes is too busy. Moveable partitions could be an option. Bliss noted that glass will likely get hot. Bliss also noted that the existing restrooms at Roberta McKercher Park could be removed and indoor restrooms could be utilized as the park's primary restrooms.

Horowitz questioned if restrooms could be phased. Bliss went on to discuss the state of the existing structure. Bliss suggested slab on grade for the new structure.

Ternet questioned how much of the interior would be salvaged. Bliss doesn't know how much could be salvaged and/or is original to the building. Much could depend on programmatic uses as well. Rowland questioned whether the building could still be used as exhibit space and where public access would be located. Horowitz questioned whether interior space could also be utilized as rental venue and/or meeting space. Bliss wondered what kind of items the Historical Museum would have to display in space. Discussion led to possible event center, kitchen addition, display area, picnic tables, etc. Giannettino questioned what the primary use of building is. Yeager noted that design and layout could always be redesigned and/or augmented at a later date. Discussion ensued.

Discussion moved to cost estimates. The Board discussed interim steps to the process: relocate and store building, pros and cons, etc.

Old Business, In-Progress & Status Reports

1) Adoption of the Meeting Minutes from February 11, 2020 -- **Action Item 5:10pm Motion was made to adopt the Meeting Minutes from February 11, 2020. Motion was seconded and all were in favor.**

Possible Discussion Topics for next Agenda (April 14, 2020 @ 4:00PM)

- Continued Discussion regarding the Relocation/Redesign of the Forest Service Buildings at Roberta McKercher Park.

Adjourn

Return to Agenda