AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

DATE: 10/27/08 **DEPARTMENT:** Planning **DEPT. HEAD SIGNATURE:** BR

SUBJECT: City initiated amendments to Subdivision Ordinance – second reading of Ordinance 1016

AUTHORITY: ☐ ID Code 67-6513 ☐ IAR _____ ☐ City Ordinance/Code #821
(IFAPPLICABLE)

BACKGROUND/SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

The amendments would provide noticing procedures for lot line adjustments. The Council held a public hearing and first reading on October 13, 2008.

FISCAL IMPACT / PROJECT FINANCIAL ANALYSIS: Caselle #_____
Budget Line Item #_____ YTD Line Item Balance $_____
Estimated Hours Spent to Date: _____ Estimated Completion Date: _____
Staff Contact: _____ Phone # _____
Comments:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT BY OTHER AFFECTED CITY DEPARTMENTS: (IFAPPLICABLE)

___ City Attorney	___ Clerk / Finance Director	___ Engineer	___ Building
___ Library	___ Planning	___ Fire Dept.	___ _____
___ Safety Committee	___ P & Z Commission	___ Police	___ _____
___ Streets	___ Public Works, Parks	___ Mayor	___ _____

RECOMMENDATION FROM APPLICABLE DEPARTMENT HEAD:

Second reading of Ordinance 1016

ACTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL:

Date _____

10/13 – conducted 1st Reading
10/27 – 2nd Reading

City Clerk _____

FOLLOW-UP:
*Ord./Res./Agmt./Order Originals: Record
Copies (all info.):
Instrument # _____

*Additional/Exceptional Originals to: _____
Copies (AIS only)

HAILEY ORDINANCE NO. 1016

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF HAILEY, IDAHO, AMENDING HAILEY'S SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE, ORDINANCE NO. 821, BY AMENDING SECTION 6.1, TO ESTALBISH NOTICING PROCEDURES FOR LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT APPLICATIONS; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; AND PROVIDING FOR THE EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments are generally in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan;

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments will not create excessive additional requirements at public cost for public facilities and services; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments will be in accordance with the welfare of the general public.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF HAILEY, IDAHO, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Section 6.1 of the Hailey Subdivision Ordinance No. 821, is hereby amended by the deletion of the stricken language and the addition of the underlined language

6.1 Developers making an application for the adjustment or elimination of a lot line or lines shall be required to file a preliminary plat with the Administrator for review. Upon a finding by the Administrator ~~or Hearing Examiner~~ that the plat conforms to the definition of a Lot Line Adjustment ~~and~~ the Administrator shall place public notice of the application in a newspaper of general circulation, and to owners of property located within three hundred (300) feet of the boundaries of the proposed subdivision, and all easement holders within the subject property. The applicant shall provide the mailing addresses of all property owners within 300 feet of the outer boundaries of the parcel proposed for adjustment. Such notice shall inform adjacent property owners they may comment on the application during a period of fifteen (15) days after the post mark of the notice. Following expiration of the comment period, and upon a finding by the Administrator that the plat is in compliance with the provisions of this Ordinance, the Administrator ~~or Hearing Examiner~~ may approve, or conditionally approve, the preliminary plat. Thereafter, the Developer shall submit a final plat, to be drawn in compliance with the requirements of this Ordinance, to the Administrator for final review. The Administrator shall inform the City Clerk of final approval in writing prior to signature of the plat sheets for the purpose of recordation.

Section 2. Should any section or provision of this Ordinance be declared by the courts to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the Ordinance

as a whole or any part thereof other than the part so declared to be unconstitutional or invalid.

Section 3. All City of Hailey ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof, which are in conflict herewith, are hereby repealed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after the required three (3) readings, approval, and publication according to law.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE HAILEY CITY COUNCIL AND APPROVED BY THE MAYOR THIS ____ DAY OF _____, 2008.

_____
Rick Davis, Mayor, City of Hailey

Attest:

_____
Mary Cone, City Clerk

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

DATE: 10/27/08 **DEPARTMENT:** Planning **DEPT. HEAD SIGNATURE:** _____

SUBJECT: City initiated amendments to Subdivision Ordinance – second reading of Ordinance 1017

AUTHORITY: ☐ ID Code 67-6513 ☐ IAR _____ ☐ City Ordinance/Code #821
(IFAPPLICABLE)

BACKGROUND/SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

The amendments would make Section 4.2.1 consistent with amendments adopted earlier this year by Ordinances 1001 and 1002. The Council held a public hearing and first reading on October 13, 2008.

FISCAL IMPACT / PROJECT FINANCIAL ANALYSIS: Caselle #_____
Budget Line Item #_____ YTD Line Item Balance $_____
Estimated Hours Spent to Date: _____ Estimated Completion Date: _____
Staff Contact: _____ Phone # _____
Comments:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT BY OTHER AFFECTED CITY DEPARTMENTS: (IFAPPLICABLE)

___ City Attorney	___ Clerk / Finance Director	___ Engineer	___ Building
___ Library	___ Planning	___ Fire Dept.	___ _____
___ Safety Committee	___ P & Z Commission	___ Police	___ _____
___ Streets	___ Public Works, Parks	___ Mayor	___ _____

RECOMMENDATION FROM APPLICABLE DEPARTMENT HEAD:

Second reading – Ordinance 1017

ACTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL:

Date _____
10/13 – conducted 1st Reading
10/27 – 2nd Reading

City Clerk _____

FOLLOW-UP:
*Ord./Res./Agmt./Order Originals: Record
Copies (all info.):
Instrument # _____

*Additional/Exceptional Originals to: _____
Copies (AIS only)

HAILEY ORDINANCE NO 1017

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF HAILEY, IDAHO, AMENDING HAILEY'S SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE, ORDINANCE NO. 821, BY AMENDING SECTION 4.2.1, TO MAKE THE SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE CONSISTENT WITH THE SIDEWALK REQUIREMENTS OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE ; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; AND PROVIDING FOR THE EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Ordinance 1002 amended several sections of the subdivision ordinance related to sidewalk infrastructure requirements and did not include amendments to Section 4.2.1;

WHEREAS, Ordinance 1001 amended several section of the zoning ordinance related to sidewalk infrastructure and unamended Section 4.2.1 of the Subdivision Ordinance is inconsistent with the amendments of Ordinance 1001;

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments are generally in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan;

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments will not create excessive additional requirements at public cost for public facilities and services; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments will be in accordance with the welfare of the general public.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF HAILEY, IDAHO, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Section 4.2.1, Sidewalks, of the Hailey Subdivision Ordinance No. 821, is hereby amended by the deletion of the stricken language and the addition of the underlined language

4.2.1 Sidewalks. ~~Sidewalks adjacent to public streets shall be constructed on both sides of a public street, shall be a minimum of six feet (6') in width and shall be constructed of concrete installed to City Standards or of a superior material as approved by the Council, following a recommendation by the Commission or Hearing Examiner. Sidewalks adjacent to private streets within and adjacent to all subdivisions in the Business, Limited Business, Service Commercial Industrial and Light Industrial districts shall be constructed on at least one side of the street, shall be a minimum of five (5') in width and shall be constructed of concrete installed to City Standards or of a superior material as approved by the Council, following a recommendation by the Commission or Hearing Examiner. Sidewalks with curb and gutter shall be provided in Business, Limited Business, Technological Industry, Service Commercial Industrial, and Light Industrial Districts. Sidewalks shall accommodate anticipated pedestrian traffic, and shall include street trees (with irrigation) and other pedestrian amenities where required by the Council upon recommendation by the Commission or Hearing Examiner, and shall be in accordance with established City standards and sidewalk master plan, if available.~~

Sidewalks, curb and gutter shall be required improvements for projects requiring Subdivision approval in the B, LB, TI, A and SCI zoning districts. At a minimum, sidewalks and curb and gutter, where required, shall comply with the City Standards. Sidewalks shall be at least six feet (6') wide or as wide as adjacent sidewalks on the same block, whichever is greater. Sidewalks shall be constructed along the entire length of a property adjacent to any public or private street in all zones, as well as in locations that provide safe pedestrian access to and around a commercial or mixed-use building. New sidewalks shall be planned to provide pedestrian connections to any existing sidewalks adjacent to the site. Sites located adjacent to public or private streets that are not currently thru-streets, regardless whether the street may provide a connection to future streets, shall provide sidewalks to facilitate future pedestrian connections. Sidewalks and drainage improvements shall also be required in other districts, except as otherwise provided herein. The requirement for sidewalk may be waived if the cost of the proposed project construction is less than twenty thousand dollars ($20,000). For subdivisions in the Townsite Overlay District, the requirement for sidewalk may be waived for any lot line adjustment associated with a residential remodel or addition; sidewalks shall be required for new primary dwellings.

The City may approve and accept voluntary cash contributions in-lieu of the above described improvements, such contributions must be segregated by the City and not used for any purpose other than the provision of these improvements. The contribution amount shall be a minimum of 110% of the estimated costs of concrete sidewalk and drainage improvements provided by a qualified contractor, plus associated engineering costs, as approved by the City Engineer. Any approved in-lieu contribution shall be paid before the City approves recordation of final plat. In-lieu contributions for sidewalks shall not be accepted in B, LB, TI and SCI districts.

Section 2. Should any section or provision of this Ordinance be declared by the courts to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the Ordinance as a whole or any part thereof other than the part so declared to be unconstitutional or invalid.

Section 3. All City of Hailey ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof, which are in conflict herewith, are hereby repealed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after the required three (3) readings, approval, and publication according to law.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE HAILEY CITY COUNCIL AND APPROVED BY THE MAYOR THIS ____ DAY OF _____, 2008.

_____
Rick Davis, Mayor, City of Hailey

Attest:

_____
Mary Cone, City Clerk

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

DATE: 10/27/08 **DEPARTMENT:** Planning **DEPT. HEAD SIGNATURE:** BR

SUBJECT: Draft fiscal impact study RFP for Quigley Canyon annexation

AUTHORITY: ☐ ID Code _____ ☐ IAR _____ ☐ City Ordinance/Code 14.01.090.B
(IFAPPLICABLE)

BACKGROUND/SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

FISCAL IMPACT / PROJECT FINANCIAL ANALYSIS: Casele #_____
Budget Line Item #_____ YTD Line Item Balance $_____
Estimated Hours Spent to Date: _____ Estimated Completion Date: _____
Staff Contact: _____ Phone # _____
Comments:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT BY OTHER AFFECTED CITY DEPARTMENTS: (IFAPPLICABLE)

☒ City Attorney	___ Clerk / Finance Director	☒ Engineer	___ Building
___ Library	___ Planning	___ Fire Dept.	☒ City Administrator
___ Safety Committee	___ P & Z Commission	___ Police	___ _____
___ Streets	☒ Public Works, Parks	___ Mayor	___ _____

RECOMMENDATION FROM APPLICABLE DEPARTMENT HEAD:

Approve draft RFP and authorize distribution.

ACTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL:
Date _____

City Clerk _____

FOLLOW-UP:
*Ord./Res./Agmt./Order Originals: _____ *Additional/Exceptional Originals to: _____
Copies (all info.): Copies (AIS only)
Instrument # _____

Request for Proposal:

Quigley Annexation Analysis
The City of Hailey is seeking proposals to provide assistance to the City in the analysis of the Quigley Canyon Annexation and development proposal. The goal is to asses the operating and maintenance costs, as well as capital costs, of providing services to private property development. The analysis shall also establish methodology to assess the operating and maintenance cost as well as capital costs under likely development alternatives.

Background
Quigley Green Owners, LLC has submitted an application for annexation of approximately 1,109 acres to be developed with a total of approximately 379 residential units and an 18 hole public golf course and Nordic facility. The property is currently located in the County and is zoned R-5 and A-10. The annexation application requests that the property be zoned as RGB, NB, LR-1, LR-2 and GR upon annexation.

The Planning and Zoning Commission has completed the public hearing process and made recommendations to the City Council. The Commission's recommendations include changes to the development as proposed which impact the number and configuration of units within the development if the changes are required by the City.

The Hailey Annexation Ordinance requires the Council to determine:

1) whether the proposed application will be harmonious and in accordance with specific goals and policies of applicable components of the Hailey Comprehensive Plan, and

2) whether the proposed annexation generally complies with the Hailey Comprehensive Plan, and

3) to the extent possible, whether the proposed annexation will have a negative fiscal impact upon the existing citizens of Hailey at the time of an annexation and in the future.

Existing Tools
Hailey has a current 20-year Capital Improvement Plan. Hailey recently adopted Development Impact Fees and has a current development impact fee study which assesses and quantifies new development's fair share of capital infrastructure within five years of the Capital Improvement Plan. Hailey has a Local Option Tax ordinance in effect, the four-year term of which will expire and be voted upon in early 2010. The current taxes collected are 3% on lodging and car rentals, 2% on alcohol beverage sales, and 1% on restaurant food and drink. An opportunity to ask voters to approve additional types of taxed items, such as sales for use of recreational facilities (e.g. golf rounds), will exist in the 2010 election.

Scope of Work
Applicants are requested to submit, as part of their proposal, a strategy, schedule, and costs for providing assistance in accomplishing the analysis described above, including the following elements.

I. Benchmark Levels of Service
Hailey has its current, existing level of service defined through its development impact fee study. An assessment of the current levels of service in and of itself is NOT required. The city will expect that the consultant will review the city's current infrastructure improvement and amenities priorities, and determine which amenities and areas of service Hailey wants improved and enhanced within its current city boundaries, through a dialogue with the community, city staff and elected officials, to meet the community's rising expectations and to assist in a prioritization of these expectations. The study will identify benchmarks by which Hailey can measure those priorities and specifically evaluate how the Quigley proposal meets these objectives.

In addition the study will also address a level of service as it relates to maintenance costs. For example, Hailey operates its street maintenance activities with a certain number of FTE's to maintain its equipment and its miles of streets, street trees, sidewalks, and drainage system. Benchmark information relative to standards for such activity, with a cost analysis of what Hailey's annual costs would be for meeting such benchmarks, is requested as part of this scope of work.

II. Cost-Benefit Items
Cost-benefit analyses of the following components relative to the proposed annexation project is requested as part of the scope of work:

- Taxes (given the 3% restriction on the total property taxes in the city budget)
- Water rights/provision of a new well
- Recreation Facilities
- City Services
  - Police
  - Fire
  - Public Works including parks
  - Library
  - Administration
- Capital Needs
- Local Option Tax Revenue

In addition, an analysis of how the Commission's recommended conditions would impact the cost-benefit analysis of the development as proposed is requested.

III. Golf Course and Nordic Skiing Amenity
A golf course and Nordic course is proposed as being a major benefit to the City. A cost-benefit analysis of both the golf course and Nordic component of the proposed annexation project is critical to the City's evaluation of the project as a whole. Assessment of the effect of a golf course in this area on Hailey's service requirements and on its economy is requested. That portion

is requested. That portion analyzing the fiscal impact if the golf course is within the city limits should include an estimate of Local Option Tax revenue from the property.

Proposal
The proposal submitted shall include a bid for the cost of providing the above information to the City, and shall present those costs separately for each component.

Timeline of Project

RFP Distributed:	October 28, 2008
Proposal Deadline:	November 17, 2008
Contract Awarded by:	November 28, 2008
Start Work:	December 1, 2008
Completion no later than:	March 1, 2009

Qualifications

1. Applicants must show substantial expertise in evaluating the financial aspects of land use development.
   Applicants must show substantial expertise in municipal cost-benefit analysis.
   Applicants must show substantial expertise in fiscal impact analysis.

Applications
Applicants are expected to provide the following minimum information:

Outline of anticipated tasks
Schedule for the tasks from contract date, with milestones noted
Detailed description of assistance and documents needed from city staff
Public comment periods
Overall project manager, specific task project managers and resumes
Examples of related work and references.
Applicants must disclose prior work with any member or representative of Quigley Green Owners, LLC
Applicants must indicate any unusual issues or problems that they may anticipate in performing the work

Qualified firms must submit a full statement of qualifications to Beth Robrahn, Planning Director at 115 S. Main Street, Hailey, ID 83333 no later than November 17, 2008. Please contact, 208-788-9815 x 13 for additional information concerning this project.

BLAINE COUNTY PLANNING/ZONING/BUILDING DEPARTMENT
219 1st Avenue South, Suite 208, Hailey, ID 83333
Planning & Zoning: 208-788-5570 ♦ Building Department: 208-788-5573 ♦ Fax 208-788-5576
www.blainecounty.org

October 6, 2008

RECEIVED
OCT 09 2008
FILE HD RD CC

Hailey City Council
115 S. Main, Ste. H
Hailey ID 83333

RE: Peregrine Ranch – Hailey Firehouse & Sewer Extension Agreement

Dear Hailey City Council Members,

On September 22nd the City of Hailey approved extending municipal sewer to Peregrine Ranch. The development is not located in the City of Hailey but in the Area of City Impact (ACI), approximately one third (1/3) of a mile north of the Hailey City limits. The amended agreement required a parcel of land in the southeast corner of Peregrine Ranch for a Hailey Fire station.

The Wood River Rural Fire District (WRRF) 1997 Comprehensive Plan designates a firehouse in the area around Peregrine's southern border and Buttercup Road. The original Peregrine Ranch PUD design included a WRRF fire station in the area now requested by the Hailey Fire Department. Placing one agency's infrastructure in another Agency's jurisdiction sets a new precedent in the Wood River Valley. WRRF is open to sharing a future firehouse but indicates they would require a signed agreement before removing their objections.

Unless it is a shared facility the Blaine County planning staff cannot recommend the county approve that part of a proposed project that places such a facility outside its jurisdiction. The proposed location is not adjacent to the City of Hailey's border and WRRF previously designated the site as an appropriate location for a fire station. Efficient use of land and government resources involves planning. As of yet, the City of Hailey has no plans to annex the area north of NorthRidge nor does the Hailey Fire Department's Comprehensive Plan designate a firehouse anywhere outside of the city's jurisdiction or in areas adjacent to Peregrine Ranch. Furthermore, locating separate fire stations immediately adjacent to each other is inefficient and surely not the type of expenditure that impresses taxpayers in tight budgetary times.

Blaine County planning staff asks the Hailey City Council to reconsider the inclusion of a Hailey Fire Station into any new agreements involving sewer extension for the Peregrine Ranch.

Sincerely,

Tom Bergin
Blaine Country Planning Director

SECOND AMENDMENT TO SEWER SERVICES AGREEMENT

THIS SECOND AMENDMENT TO SEWER SERVICES AGREEMENT ("**Second Amendment**") is made effective the _____ day of October, 2008, by and between the CITY OF HAILEY, IDAHO, a municipal corporation ("**Hailey**"), and Harry S. Rinker, Trustee for the Harry S. and Diane J. Rinker Revocable Trust dated 5/10/66, as Amended and Restated ("**Trust**"), as follows:

RECITALS

A. This Second Amendment is made to that certain Sewer Services Agreement, dated February 7, 2005, and recorded March 16, 2005, as Instrument No. 517483, Official Records of Blaine County, Idaho (the "**Sewer Services Agreement**"), and that certain First Amendment to Sewer Services Agreement, dated August 27, 2007 (the "**First Amendment**").

B. The Parties desire to amend the Sewer Services Agreement and the First Amendment as set forth below.

C. All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings given those terms in the Sewer Services Agreement and the First Amendment.

AMENDMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Sewer Services Agreement and the First Amendment are hereby amended as follows:

1. Paragraph 10 of the Sewer Services Agreement is amended to extend the term of the Sewer Services Agreement to February 7, 2012.

2. Paragraph 3 of the First Amendment is amended to extend the term of the First Amendment the same as the extended term of the Sewer Services Agreement, to February 7, 2012.

3. This Second Amendment shall be recorded in Blaine County, Idaho.

4. Except as amended hereby, the terms and conditions set forth in the Sewer Services Agreement and the First Amendment shall remain in full force and effect; provided, however, that in the event of any conflict between the terms and conditions of this Second Amendment and the terms and conditions of the Sewer Services Agreement or the First Amendment, the terms and conditions of this Second Amendment shall prevail.

SIGNATURES APPEAR ON FOLLOWING PAGE

Dated the day and year first set forth above.

_____
Harry S. Rinker, Trustee for the Harry S. and
Diane J. Rinker Revocable Trust dated 5/10/66,
as Amended and Restated

CITY OF HAILEY, an Idaho municipal corporation

By:_____
Rick Davis, Mayor

ATTEST:

By:_____
Mary Cone, City Clerk

STATE OF _____)
) ss.
County of _____)

Subscribed and sworn before me on this _____ day of October, 2008, before me a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared Harry S. Rinker known to me to be the Trustee for the Harry S. and Diane J Rinker Revocable Trust dated 5/10/66, as Amended and Restated, and the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year in this certificate first above written.

_____
Notary Public for _____
Residing at _____
My commission expires _____

STATE OF IDAHO)
) ss.
County of Blaine)

On this _____ day of October, 2008, before me, a Notary Public in and for said state, personally appeared Rick Davis, the Mayor of the City of Hailey, known or identified to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year in this certificate first above written.

_____
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at _____
My commission expires _____

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

DATE: 10/27/2008 **DEPARTMENT:** Legal **DEPT. HEAD SIGNATURE:** _____

SUBJECT:

Proposition No. 2 Ballot language

AUTHORITY: ☐ ID Code _____ ☐ IAR _____ ☐ City Ordinance/Code _____
(IFAPPLICABLE)

BACKGROUND/SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

I am attaching a document provided to me by Tom Bowman which explains the county's position on the Proposition No. 2 ballot language. The typed portion of this document is the document I forwarded to the county commissioners on August 20, 2008. I am also forwarding a copy of the e-mail I sent to the county commissioners, county administrator, county clerk and county prosecutor's office.

Commissioner Bowman explained that he, the county administrator and county clerk made revisions to my language, which are noted in the attached document. You will see the word "annually" in someone's handwriting behind $835,000. I am told that the county intended to insert "annually" after $835,000, but the county mistakenly inserted "annually" in the line directly above $835,000.

Ned

FISCAL IMPACT / PROJECT FINANCIAL ANALYSIS: Casele #_____
Budget Line Item #_____ YTD Line Item Balance $_____
Estimated Hours Spent to Date: _____ Estimated Completion Date: _____
Staff Contact: _____ Phone # _____
Comments:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT BY OTHER AFFECTED CITY DEPARTMENTS: (IFAPPLICABLE)

d	City Attorney	___	Clerk / Finance Director	___	Engineer	___	Building
___	Library	___	Planning	___	Fire Dept.	___	_____
___	Safety Committee	___	P & Z Commission	___	Police	___	_____
___	Streets	___	Public Works, Parks	___	Mayor	___	_____

RECOMMENDATION FROM APPLICABLE DEPARTMENT HEAD:

No action is required.

FOLLOW-UP REMARKS:
*

Proposition Two.
Dispatch Center Override

PROPOSED BALLOT LANGUAGE

Shall the Blaine County Board of County Commissioners be authorized to ~~permanently~~ increase ~~the~~ Blaine County ~~budget from~~ property tax ~~revenues~~ as permanently in the amount of $ 835,000 annually to fund 911 dispatch operational expenses of a consolidated communications center to be managed by the Blaine County Board of County Commissioners? If authorized, the adjustment in the Blaine County budget will increase a property owner's tax approximately $ 6.71 per $100,000 in property value per year.

Ned Williamson

From: "Ned Williamson" <wlo@cox-internet.com>
To: "Bowman, Tom" <tbowman@co.blaine.id.us>; "Lawrence Schoen" <lschoen@co.blaine.id.us>; <amccleary@co.blaine.id.us>; "Michael McNees" <mmcnees@co.blaine.id.us>; <jdrage@co.blaine.id.us>
Cc: "Thomas, Jim" <jthomas@co.blaine.id.us>; "Graves, Tim" <tgraves@co.blaine.id.us>
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 5:08 PM
Attach: Dispatch Election Language.doc
Subject: Proposed ballot language

Here is a draft of ballot language for the dispatch override issue. I used some of the key phrases from the open space ballot issue and from Idaho Code § 63-802(1)(g). I would ask Mike and/or JoLynn to fill in the blanks. Any suggestions would be appreciated. I look forward to your response. Thanks.

Ned

Ned C. Williamson, Esq.
Williamson Law Office, PLLC
115 Second Avenue South
Hailey, Idaho 83333
Ph. (208) 788-6688
Fax (208) 788-7901