MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE
HAILEY CITY COUNCIL
HELD JUNE 20, 2017
IN THE HAILEY TOWN CENTER MEETING ROOM

The Meeting of the Hailey City Council was called to order at 5:30 P.M. by Mayor Fritz Haemmerle. Present were Council members Colleen Teevin, Don Keirn, Pat Cooley, and Martha Burke. Staff present included City Attorney Ned Williamson, and City Clerk Mary Cone.

5:29:48 PM Call to order by Mayor Haemmerle.

Open Session for Public Comments:

Angela Barbre Della View resident announces that their neighborhood has been flooding for 40 days, and hopes the city applies for grant. There is a Hazard migration grant program. And an EHP grant. We (Della area neighbors) need help and support from the City. Facebook is stopped but the flooding is continuing, why? This is important to us.

5:31:38 PM Mayor Haemmerle asked Barbre to connect with Jeff Gunter about the grants after the meeting.

Burke comments, we feel for you. Thanks for your input.

CONSENT AGENDA:

CA 225 Motion to change the next regular meeting from July 10th to Monday July 17th, 2017 (no documents)
CA 226 Motion to ratify Office of Emergency Management, Emergency Relief Fund Grant Application in the amount of $875,000 for repair of flood damaged roads in Della View Subdivision
CA 227 Motion to approve Resolution 2017-061 and authorize Library Director to sign Grant Agreement with Idaho STEM Action Center for $500 grant award for Maker Camp grant
CA 228 Motion to approve Idaho Firefighters license plate education grant application for a potential $1,000 award with $400 matching funds
CA 229 Motion to approve Resolution 2017-062, and authorize the Mayor to sign the Adopt A Park agreement with G and G Landscaping for maintenance and mowing at Balmoral Park during the 2017 park season
CA 230 Motion to approve bid package and commencement of project bidding of Pathways for People, Croy St. and 2nd Ave. improvements
CA 231 Motion to reject all bids for the Street Shop Salt Storage Shed Project
CA 232 Motion to approve Resolution 2017-063 approving Letter of Engagement with Dennis Brown auditor for a cost not to exceed of $11,500
CA 233 Motion to approve Fireworks stand permits
CA 234 Motion to approve the Special Event, Due West Press Grand Opening, to be held on June 24, 2017, on West Croy Street, from the alley to River Street
CA 235 Motion to approve the Special Event, Tutu’s and Tennis Shoes 5K Fun Run and Walk, to be held on July 4, 2017, beginning and ending at the Meriwether Building on North First Avenue, and between Carbonate Street and Bullion Street
CA 236 Motion to approve the Special Event, The Hunger Coalition Mobile Market, to be held on Wednesdays beginning June 21, 2017 through October 11, 2017, from 1:00pm to 2:00pm, in the Summit Creek Apartments parking lot
CA 237 Motion to approve the Special Event, Sheeptown Drag Race, to be held on June 29, 2017, on Main Street between Spruce Street and Myrtle Street, from 5:00pm to 12:00am
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Motion to approve Resolution 2017-064, authorizing a Special Event, the Hailey Skate Competition, to be held at the City of Hailey Skatepark (Saturday, June 24th from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.)

Motion to approve Resolution 2017-065, authorizing a special event permit for the Wood River Land Trust’s Riverfest at Lions Park on July 4, 2017

Motion to approve minutes of June 5, 2017 and to suspend reading of them

Motion to ratify claims for expenses paid in June 2017

Motion to approve claims for expenses incurred during the month of June, 2017, and claims for expenses due by contract in July, 2017

Motion to approve Treasurer’s report for May 2017

CA 225 pulled by Haemmerle this change is not needed any longer, no need to approve this item.

CA 230 pulled by Williamson for discussion.

CA 226 pulled by Haemmerle to discuss.

Burke moved to approve all consent agenda items minus CA 225, CA 226 and CA 230, seconded by Cooley, motion passed unanimously.

Mayor Haemmerle reviews this item. Total grant award up to $875,000, filed application on June 15th. This would hopefully cover cost to repair damaged roads from the flooding.

Burke moves to ratify grant application, seconded by Cooley, motion passed unanimously.

Pathways for People this is an extensive set of documents, Williamson has reviewed all the documents, hope to have bid openings soon, advertisement begins tomorrow for 2 weeks. Williamson feels good about these documents. 3 parts, Croy St first, then 2nd Ave, and then sidewalk improvements on 2nd and Croy.

Burke moves to approve bid package as presented, seconded by Keirn, motion passed unanimously.

Mayor Haemmerle acknowledges the efforts of 2 individuals, Carol Brown and Anne Jeffery as Public Information Officers (PIOs) for the City of Hailey during this flood. Mayor asked for round of applause for these 2 individuals. Room applauded.

Mayor’s budget memo is handed out before the meeting and displayed on the projector screen to the room. Mayor Haemmerle reviews the highlights, 3.28% over the current year budget. Last year we identified several departments that needed pay increases, namely

Mayor’s remarks:

Introduction of Mayor’s proposed budget for fiscal year 2018

Mayor’s budget memo is handed out before the meeting and displayed on the projector screen to the room. Mayor Haemmerle reviews the highlights, 3.28% over the current year budget. Last year we identified several departments that needed pay increases, namely

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

PH 244  Introduction of Mayor’s proposed budget for fiscal year 2018

Mayor’s budget memo is handed out before the meeting and displayed on the projector screen to the room. Mayor Haemmerle reviews the highlights, 3.28% over the current year budget. Last year we identified several departments that needed pay increases, namely
street department employees doing snow removal services. 2 offices propose staff increases one in Community Development department. And 2, the Fire Department has also requested increased in staffing, a part-time fire inspector and 2 paid on call EMTs to cover office.

The budget amount does not include repairing Della View area streets from the flood waters or raising the lift station at Heagle Park. We are estimating repairs from $1,100,000. For these 2 items. If we do not receive the grant, then we’ll have to make some difficult decisions in the budget deferring maintenance projects again. And also, we may need to use the LOT funds for something other than Mountain Rides or Chamber funding.

Burke asks what changes to the lift station need to be made? Army Corps of Engineers said that the pump station needs to be defended to protect the Wastewater system in the City.

5:49:27 PM Brian Yeager, City engineer explained that the lift station services everything in Hailey except Woodside and Deerfield. To ensure the stability of this system, it is suggested that this station be protected. Construct and elevate the roadway, by encircling the lift station, you’ll have the ability to drive 2 vehicles around it, and install culverts. We must be able to access the station and service it, in order to continue having operations in a future event.

Paul Richards, citizen comments on the lift station. Richards asks do you move it (lift station) for the future?

5:52:16 PM Haemmerle said we would likely just make improvements to it and not move it due to the costs associated with moving it are greater. Richards asks what would you need to do on the road to repair it. Haemmerle responds, we only have an estimate. To replace a road in the city, it costs $1 million per mile.

Haemmerle asks for comments from council. Teevin asks if we’ll hear back in time for the July 10th not to exceed on the budget? Haemmerle, commented not sure.

Burke, these are difficult decisions but we will survive.

5:58:02 PM Angela Barbre comments again. Mayor Haemmerle responds.

5:59:32 PM Mayor Haemmerle, this budget is stretched to the outer limits.

5:59:58 PM Burke comments, when Bullion Street needed repairing, the city dumped 28 truckloads of dirt with oil on it as a solution. Solutions can be found as work arounds.

Haemmerle explains the budget process that the city is limited to annually.

Burke, added that solving problems is a community effort.

6:01:40 PM Richards asks about a potential Local Improvement District (LID). Haemmerle responds. Explains the difference between an LID and a General Obligation Bond.
Consideration of an annexation of Colorado Gulch with property description of Lot 1A, Block 1, 21.81 acres

6:03:24 PM Horowitz gives an overview of this item. Sam Stahlnecker city contract planner will discuss after Horowitz. Then the applicant will speak. Horowitz shows the property for discussion on the map. Upper bench is what is being discussed tonight for potential annexation. First annexation application was received in 2003. It was denied in 2004, partially due to significant portions of land were in avalanche and flood areas. Another application in 2007, for 21.81 acres, also denied in 2009 because felt that the density did not comply with the Hailey Comprehensive Plan. We are now looking at 24.46 Acres, for development of 36 lots with requested zoning density of LR2 our lowest density category. Horowitz reviewed possible development within the county – 24 lots allowed by county ordinances. 6:09:17 PM Horowitz hands over presentation to Sam Stahlnecker. The existing condition of Broadford Road, is better than last year as the City of Hailey performed double chip seal on the road in 2016 on the road outside the city limits. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended a 22-foot road width, a bit wider than it is now. The street department noted that the city maintains a portion of this road way, this project could prompt maintenance of this road sooner. Connectivity to pedestrian and bicycles is proposed with this annexation. More specifically, a separated path along city right of way, alignment would follow the roads, not sure about the out parcels. This would be 75% funded by applicant.

6:13:48 PM Jim Laski attorney is presenting for the Applicant. This proposal is a culmination of 15 years of proposals. 1995 city ACI was adopted, guide development. Justus Ranch was the 1st annexation request in 2003 – 2004. Laski review comments from Council then. Then in 2007, Stevens acquired the land and submitted another annexation request, included some trails, but awkward. Laski reviewed council comments from the 2007 application. In 2011, Jeff Pfaeffle, in a joint venture with Stevens Family and the Land Trust made a proposal which would have maintained the lower portion as open space, those negotiations stalled because parties could not agree on the valuation of the lower parcel. In 2016 Preston Ziegler, came in and bought out Stevens family. Ultimately, did the deal with the Land trust, dedicated open space in good faith. Then principals met with county and city officials to determine where best to develop. Now we have an application to develop 36 lots in the City. County development would be 24 lots. County does not maintain the county road, now city maintaining. If approved, make Broadford road improvements. 2 of the 3 lots impacted, are willing to be annexed in the city, with concessions to timing. In short, this application addresses the concerns from past applications. 6:26:23 PM Laski continues, the 3 owner is still not inclined to be annexed, minimal impact, and Laski contends that he does believe he has to be annexed, on the east side of the property. Laski continues, they have the support from the Wood River Land Trust and the Parks and Lands Board. Please rely on the Planning and Zoning Commission’s work and recommendation.

6:28:35 PM Burke wonders how the high water impacted this area. (from this year’s flood) Laski responds no impact, as there is no development now close to the river.

6:29:54 PM Keirn visited the site in 2006 with Pfaeffle.
6:30:34 PM Haemmerle asks, was any of this flooded this spring? Ziegler responded, there was no water on the buildable lots.

6:31:11 PM Brian Yeager presented for the applicant, anything buildable did not get wet this spring. Is this a good project for the City of Hailey, is the question for you tonight. Yeager implores that it will expand coveted living environment that is currently exhausted in Hailey. These 36 buildable lots, represents 1.2 years of potential growth in the City. This is a good property for development. The opportunity is to expand favorable living areas for more people, smaller lots would be more expensive. This is minimal impact on the City of Hailey Infrastructure. Yeager covers the benefits to annexation, fees, road improvements, and bike/pedestrian path connectivity. City is more attractive than County development. Yeager displays a plan (map), no building in the floodplain. Yeager brings up what people will perceive as the cons of this development, Water, sewer and traffic. Yeager explains why he does not believe these are an issue. Then forced annexation, feels this can be consensual or negotiated annexation. Yeager explains, the 4 properties that would be annexed and where they are located on the map. There is a way to obtain “consensual” annexation, they don’t want to have infrastructure expenses until development occurs in the annexed property. Mr. Miles is not interested in annexation. Yeager offers a solution to not annexing this property, not annex. 721 ft of Broadford Road and Mr. Miles property. Yeager addresses perceptions, “development does not pay for itself” old phrase, now have annexation and development impact fees or “destroys the rural character of Broadford Road.” Yeager believes this is a desirable piece of property and would be an asset to the city.

Preston Ziegler applicant is present tonight if you have questions.

6:50:48 PM Ziegler will comment later in the meeting.

6:51:03 PM Williamson asks a question to the 3 homeowners, regarding the agreement. Laski responds.

Public comments:

6:52:36 PM Joe Lowe county resident has a question of applicant. What would be the cost of the lot in this subdivision? The cost for road improvements and traffic and rural character being affected. Does the applicant have water usage numbers on these lots, how does it compare to what is used right now? In 30 years, we may have significantly less snow pack, possibly no snow, will have to figure out how to get water. Capacity question of water to city. Open space contributes to quality of life, pavement impacts the environment.

6:57:23 PM William Miles, little square, sent in written comments. In staff report for this meeting, income items, building permits but no costs for plan review, a little optimistic. There was a comment that “credit” should be given to the applicant, Miles feels this was a transaction for sale and should not be given credit. Miles comments that this does not comply with the Comprehensive Plan. Miles appreciates the applicants position that it is not legally required to annex his property.
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Kelly Malone, city resident, speaks in support of applicant, is a realtor and contractor, lives in Old Cutters. It is our job to guide the developer. There are currently 136 lots in Hailey, not a lot of inventory on West side of Hailey. Sales prices have increased over 100% since a year ago May.

Fred Bilger impacted, why has he been in the county for all of these years. He wants to be in the city, providing there is a staging up to the costs – up to $30,000. Bilger has seen all the development happen around him, he likes it. Bilger has some ideas for annexation that he is willing to negotiate.

Kathy Barfus, negotiated annexation. Barfus has lots of amenities, horse barn, etc. If we can negotiate some parameters, she would not be opposed to annexation. Haemmerle clarifies her statement. Barfus does not want to make improvements unless they construct any house.

Williamson clarifies zoning R-1, city zoning would be?

Darin Barfus speaks and explains his concerns.

Brian Yeager clarifies, they are planning 12,000 sq ft lots. Barfus continues, may sell to someone who loves horses. Barfus proposes that if usage stays the same then? Haemmerle asks a question.

Jennifer Beavers cedar street resident is in support of this project. Draper Preserve is a great asset to the City. No growth is not a likely scenario. This appears to be good planning. How would taxes and fees offset the cost to build?

Applicant rebuttal.

Yeager clarifies, change of use or sale. The word “sale” is being asked to be stricken by both the Bilgers and the Barfus’s. Price of lots will be less than a larger 1 acre lot. The road looking to upgrade is North of annexed area. Haemmerle asked question of plan of road improvements. Yeager responds to water usage, not sure. The capacity of Wastewater treatment plant is in Staff report. This property will be developed in the near future. This does comply with the comprehensive plan.

Preston Ziegler speaks to council. $125,000 to $225,000 cost of lots range. Ziegler responds to the water usage question. 11 acres of water with developing in the city. Ziegler feels development pays for itself. Ziegler is not all of the other applications. Ziegler thinks change of use or change of owner should be the natural trigger for hook up on the 4 properties.
Discussion about general water usage of this property. 7:26:18 PM Use water right until cut off and then will use city water. Cutoff date is April 12, 1883. Haemmerle and Yeager discuss water rights.

7:27:42 PM Laski, the Area of Impact is not used to maintain open space, it is to have input on development. Please be fair with us, don’t lead us on for years.

Council deliberation.

7:28:55 PM Burke if not developed in city, must hook up to services? Williamson replies.

Keirn asks a question about the Park mentioned. Yeager points to it on the map. Light green area is open space. Ziegler may donate land to Wood River Land Trust. If city project, will be easement to get to the green space.

7:32:04 PM Cooley asks about the water line, easements to the connections. Yeager connecting into main in airport west. Would run down the roadways, Yeager confirms yes. What about sewer systems. Yeager responds. Propose a gravity system from south end of project to airport west lift station.

7:34:40 PM Teevin asked about water right usage? Only for irrigation, Yeager answered. Why is city maintaining county road? Williamson responds. It should have been annexed a long time ago. When was the last time a floodplain analysis in this area? Stahlnecker, 2011 was the last one in this area. Teevin asks, has this scenario been practiced before where hook ups have not been enforced/complied with at time of sale? Williamson does not know of any cases that this applies to.

7:37:42 PM Burke starts, with the 3 things she is celebrating. Floodplain and avalanche area is owned by Wood River Land Trust now. When you start driving south on Broadford road, a few houses you see. Then you see roofs of Della Subdivision. Then you drop down around the city shop. Where this property begins, it feels rural. This property will be developed, Burke likes the 14 edge lots surrounding the Wood River Land Trust property. Good job in defending the urban feel of the area. Burke is torn.

7:41:35 PM Cooley likes the look of the development. Is this the time for expansion? Is this stretching our boundaries more than we should at this time? Biggest concern is about the delay of the hook ups, struggle with these parameters. And should the developer propose this decision on behalf of the city?

7:42:59 PM Keirn would like to see a nicer development in the City than through county development. Concurs with Cooley on the annexed properties concern, delay on hookup.

7:44:12 PM Teevin agrees with Cooley, not ready to make a decision tonight as with Burke.
7:44:35 PM Haemmerle comments, if you have 24 open lots, PUD in county. Or 36 in city. If in county, then we have impact on our streets and no benefit. The other issue is the number of lots that are being diminished, feels it is better than the alternative – as acreage lots. Not excited about the water impact to the city if not able to use your water right. This is an extension that makes some sense to round out the city boundary. The pathways are good. Haemmerle is not as concerned about flooding due to the high spot on the bench. Burden vs. benefit thoughts expressed by Haemmerle. Development will pay something or nothing.

7:49:14 PM Burke there is not real fear and cry regarding this annexation application.

Haemmerle continues. Not happy about all the neighbors not having a firm deal with developers.

7:50:47 PM Laski responds.

7:51:33 PM Horowitz suggests a site visit to show council where improvements would be and separated bike path would be located. 3 mile protected separated bike path in the preserve.

Cooley speaks.

Williamson, you need to annex the road. 7:53:43 PM Williamson disagrees that you can leave a pocket of unincorporated area within city limits.

When is the next available meeting date? Horowitz responded. Suggests a site visit then.

7:56:35 PM Burke moves to continue this item to July 10th, seconded by Cooley, motion passed unanimously.

Haemmerle wants to know how much higher this area is above the flooding. Mayor allows the room to depart from this item.

PH 000 Consideration of a City-initiated Text Amendment to Title 17, Section 17.05, District Use Matrix, to clarify and add definitions of: Health and Fitness Facility; Studio, Artist; Performing Arts Center; Recreation Facility, Commercial; Recreation Facility, Public; and Recreation Facility, Residential, and amendments to Title 17, Section 17.02, Definitions to add or modify definitions related to the above. The changes are to correct clerical omissions in Ordinance 1208 (will be continued to July 10, 2017) (no documents)

This item was not discussed. It will be re-noticed for another meeting.

OLD BUSINESS:

OB 246 Discussion of Petition for reconsideration of an amendment to the Hailey Comprehensive Plan and rezoning of Lot 14, Block 46 Woodside Subdivision #11

8:01:29 PM Williamson explains this item. Allow for a reconsideration, appeal to the code. In the packet there is a letter from Geoff Wardle argument. Page 480 of packet that Williamson
responds to. Isolate the discussion to the 3 arguments only. Could have ARCH be the developer and Hailey the owner. Michelle Griffith is present tonight.

Geoff Wardle attorney for Spink Butler firm in Boise presents for clients Gadrie Edmunds and Dave Keir. 8:03:55 PM Wardle announces the reasons for their request and the laws outlining the Local Land Use Planning Act (LLUPA) process and making decisions for reconsideration mandatory. First issue, when deliberating, neighbors did not have a plan or goal. His client should have been able to respond to this comment. Then focus on the other 2 issues. Development Agreement, why proper.

Williamson comments and Wardle responds. 8:06:37 PM Haemmerle addresses Wardle. How is this relevant to the Findings decided? Wardle replies. If you find that these arguments have merit, then you should probably have another public hearing.

8:10:33 PM Gadrie Edmunds presents a site plan from an Architect to Horowitz. Then Edmunds met with Mariel Miller and Stephanie Cook. Then she met with the Parks and Lands Board. Then Dec. 20th Parks and Lands Board, Edmunds explains. On Jan 10th, did not show this site plan at this time. Haemmerle asks a question. Edmund responds.

8:16:18 PM Williamson asks Wardle to point to a part in the Findings of Fact that their decision was made based on not having seen this pocket park site plan. Wardle says no, there were not statements in the Findings of Fact, but words stated during the decision-making night is what they are hinging on.

Haemmerle asks for timeline. Wardle responds.

8:19:02 PM Williamson, refer to page 484, the letter, 493, additions, a,b. and c from Planning and Zoning Commission. Wardle continues, highlighting the conditions that Planning and Zoning Commission recommended are not exactly what Parks and Lands and Board meeting.

8:20:34 PM Haemmerle asks why does it matter? Wardle responds. The standards that Parks and Lands and Board should have been adopted by Planning and Zoning Commission. the 3rd issue, how do you memorialize this document if ARCH does not proceed. A conditional zoning process provides for development agreements. Allows municipalities to rezone through the lease. Wardle explains his argument, may have a development agreement.

8:25:49 PM Williamson, does not have an issue with a development agreement. Williamson asks a question to Wardle? Do you want this to go back to Parks and Lands Board? Wardle responds.

8:27:01 PM Haemmerle, these are recommending boards. So, when you discovered this was inconsistent between Planning and Zoning Commission and Parks and Lands Board was different, did you go to the Planning and Zoning Commission to point this out? Wardle responds.

8:30:38 PM Dave Keir speaks to council. Trying to track is difficult as a resident. Public meetings are hard.
8:31:34 PM Wardle adds, reconsider technical elements the compromise that involved your decision.

8:32:32 PM page 2 or 3 letter from Wardle, should have been in the Findings of Fact. Wardle explained. Want to make sure the decision is what you intended.

8:34:09 PM Williamson wants to do this in a meaningful way. Want to do a development agreement. Page 484, building foot print no more than 50% of lot. Planning and Zoning Commission did not address the minimizing the parking. The Planning and Zoning Commission reversionary clause is better than the Parks and Lands Board’s.

Council deliberation.

8:36:41 PM Haemmerle starts by stating that the Parks and Lands Board decisions are not binding on the Planning and Zoning Commission and Council. Haemmerle, the 3rd issue, can accommodate these comments in a development agreement. On issue #1, deny the basis that does not make a difference. #2, deny and #3 would grant motion for reconsideration to do a Development Agreement with ARCH.

8:38:41 PM Teevin thinks #1 holds water. #2 agrees that recommendations don’t dictate what Planning and Zoning Commission does. #3 don’t agree.

8:41:02 PM Keirn as to #1, would deny this item. The City does not have finances to have another park. Agrees with Haemmerle on #2, okay with Development Agreement.

8:42:13 PM Cooley, #1? Parks and Lands Board is advisory board only, balance of parks versus the need for housing, deny #2. Okay with Development Agreement.

8:42:55 PM Burke agrees with Keirn, this had been vacant for so many years. It is unfortunate, that at this late hour, it is being brought to our attention. In light of the conversation, it is accurate, that it did not have a bearing on the decision. How do you weigh needs for parks versus housing? #2 Parks and Lands Board, Tree Committee and Arts and Historic Preservation Commission, provide thoughts and recommendations, not binding on anybody. Easy to do a development agreement, okay with this approach.

8:47:27 PM Williamson gives guidance on the next steps, vote on all 3 points. Williamson will draft a development agreement, circulate it and then will be on the agenda.

8:48:49 PM Motion to deny the motion for reconsideration on point #1 as presented, seconded by Keirn, motion approved with roll call vote; Deny, Burke. Cooley, deny. Keirn, deny. With Teevin voting against the motion.

8:50:35 PM Motion to deny #2 made by Cooley for motion for reconsideration, seconded by Keirn. Motion passed unanimously with roll call vote. Burke, deny. Cooley, deny. Keirn, deny. Teevin, deny.
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8:51:59 PM Motion to grant the motion for reconsideration for purposes of developing a development agreement (#3) made by Cooley, seconded by Burke, Motion passed unanimously with roll call vote. Burke, yes. Cooley, yes. Keirn, yes. Teevin, yes.

STAFF REPORTS:

8:53:34 PM Burke announces that she will run again for her city council seat in November 2017. Keirn re-confirms he is not running again.

EXECUTIVE SESSION: Real Property Acquisition (IC 74-206(1)(c))

8:54:55 PM Motion to go into Executive Session to discuss Real Property Acquisition (IC 74-206(1)(c)) made by Burke seconded by Cooley, motion passed with roll call vote. Burke, yes. Cooley, yes. Keirn, yes. Teevin, yes.

Mayor and council came out of Executive Session.

At 9:10 pm, with no further business, Burke moves to adjourn meeting seconded by Keirn, motion passed with roll call vote. Burke, yes. Keirn, yes. Cooley, yes. Teevin, yes.