

**MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING
OF THE HAILEY CITY COUNCIL
Monday, May 19, 2008 * Hailey City Hall Meeting Room
and the Blaine County Courthouse**

The special meeting of the Hailey City Council was called to order at 5:30 p.m. by Martha Burke, City Council President. Present were Council members Carol Brown, Don Keirn and Fritz Haemmerle. Staff present were City Administrator Heather Dawson, and City Clerk Mary Cone.

CONSENT AGENDA

Carol Brown pulled consent items CA 181, 182, 183, 184, 187, 188, 192 & 193 for discussion and clarification. **Brown moved to approve consent agenda minus CA 181, 182, 183, 184, 187, 188, 192 & 193. Keirn seconded; Motion carried unanimously.**

- CA 181— ~~Motion to approve request by Syringa Networks LLC to waive the City of Hailey’s construction work hour limitations of Municipal Code 9.04.030 (the noise ordinance) to allow construction on Airport Way until 9 pm— see letter submitted from Syringa Networks.~~
- CA 182— ~~Motion to approve special event Brimstein Birthday at 1660 2nd Ave. North on May 24, 2008 4 pm to 7 pm— 50th Birthday party with live music (possibly outside)— enclosed neighbor notification and signatures acknowledging that neighbors are aware of party— anticipate 50 people at the event, empty lots on either side of property.~~
- CA 183— ~~Motion to approve special event BCRD 4th of July Criterium (Bicycle Race) on July 4th, 2008 from 1:30 pm to 4:00 pm— bike route includes travel on I-75 / Main Street, East Bullion Street, North 1st Avenue and East Galena Street (map included with application).~~
- CA 184— ~~Motion to approve amendment to special event on July 4th, 2008, changing 1) time to close Main Street from 11 am and reopen at 3 pm 2) Move Grand Stand to the front of Bullion Square 3) serve BBQ in the Food Court in Bullion Square Parking Lot 4) close West Carbonate between Main and River for Kids Carnival sponsored by BCRD and, 5) live music in Bullion Square from 11 am to 12 pm AND 1:30 pm to 3 pm.~~
- NO DOC Motion to set special meeting to canvass the May 27th election – proposed meeting time on Wednesday May 28th at 2 pm - Hailey City Hall Meeting Room
- CA 185 Motion to authorize Mayor to sign Letter of Commitment of Cooperation with Ketchum CDC and ARCH in carrying out the RHED 2008 Capacity Building Project.
- CA 186 Motion to approve request by the Board of Blaine County Commissioners for funding next phase of USGS Water Study – for fiscal year 2008 – 2009.
- CA 187— ~~Motion to ratify Resolution 2008-05 supporting the Blaine County Levy Override measure for funding paramedic ambulance service within Blaine County~~
- CA 188— ~~Motion to ratify Mayor’s signature on Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) Application for Permit for Groundwater Recharge— City of Hailey is applying for winter Indian Creek water rights, combination of addressing city water rights and future mitigation of our groundwater use along with the issue of sheet flooding in ITD ROW along Northridge subdivision’s east side.~~
- CA 189 Motion to authorize 3rd Reading by Title only - proposed Ordinance 1006, an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance, Article 7, revising guidelines for accessory structures.
- CA 190 Motion to authorize 3rd Reading by Title only - proposed Ordinance 1007, amendment to Municipal Code Title 13 to change water conservation restrictions – adopting odd/even irrigation schedules.
- CA 191 Motion to authorize 3rd Reading by Title only - proposed Ordinance 1008, amendment of Titles 15 and 13 to encourage Energy Star Construction by deferring fees to be paid at time of Certificate of Occupancy and motion to approve summary after this reading.

~~CA 192 – Motion to approve minutes of May 12, 2008 City Council Meeting, and suspend reading of them.~~
~~CA 193 – Motion to approve Claims for payment of checks issued in April 2008.~~

CA 181 – Brown questioned the waiving of the noise ordinance. She does not think she has enough information to make that decision. Tom Hellen, Public Works Director clarified that the work would take 2 to 3 weeks. It will start at Fox Acres Rd and continue down the side walk and into the subdivision. **Brown moved to approve the request made by Syringa Networks LLC to waive the City of Hailey’s construction work hour limitations to allow construction on Airport Way until 9pm. Keirn seconded; Motion carried unanimously.**

CA 182 – Brown pulled to recuse herself. Haemmerle asked to have condition (e) removed from the standard conditions as discussed in the last city council meeting in regards to the liability insurance on private property. **Haemmerle moved to approve the special event at 1660 2nd Ave. N without naming the city as insured on private property. Keirn seconded. Brown recused. Motion carried unanimously.**

CA 183 – Brown questioned the amount of contestants and wanted to be sure Chamber was on board with the information. Haemmerle said that this is a sanctioned bike race. They generally have categories and plan on combining them for this event. Jim Spinelli, Chamber Director said that the executive board will support the event if it’s approved. Brown is still uncomfortable with not knowing how many. She understands it’s a first time event but would like numbers in the future. Haemmerle said to estimate at least 100 but less than 500. **Brown moved to approve the July Criterium on July 4th. Keirn seconded. Haemmerle said that this is an idea he first had and he thanked council and department heads for accepting this event. Motion carried unanimously.**

CA 184 - Brown questioned the fact that the event app does not mention that the closure of Main St will be brought into a smaller area of Main as soon as the Parade is over. Hellen assured her that that was the plan. **Brown moved to approve the special event on the 4th of July with the closure of Main St to be brought to a smaller area at end of the parade. Keirn seconded; Motion carried unanimously.**

CA 187 – Motion to ratify resolution 2008-05 – not in packet, handed out in meeting.

CA 188 – Ground water recharge – Brown did not understand what this was about. Haemmerle clarified that this is overflow water from Indian Creek that spills out and is unclaimed. The city of Hailey thought they should claim it for recharge. **Brown moved to ratify Mayor’s signature. Keirn seconded; Motion carried unanimously.**

CA 192 – Minutes were pulled for minor corrections. Corrections were submitted to the clerk. Burke clarified the Airport Report from the last meeting. The airport meeting last month was actually the FAA Northwest Region Conference in Seattle. The conference title was Safe, Green and Value. The meeting was with the FAA staff who is in charge of the EIS. Mark Perryman is who is coming to the airport authority meeting on June 3rd. Burke is hoping that council could meet one on one with him. **Brown moved to approve**

the minutes with the minor corrections and suspend the reading of them. Keirn seconded; Motion carried unanimously.

CA 193 – Brown pulled the approval of council meeting protocol for correction. The second page of the ordinance, item number 4 a & b it should read “the council member who voted on the prevailing side of the motion”. The same wording goes on condition (b). The council member who votes on the prevailing side of the motion has to second it. **Brown moved to approve the Ordinance Municipal Code Amendment for Council meeting protocol with the corrections to a & b as noted above. Keirn seconded; Motion carried unanimously.**

PUBLIC HEARINGS

An application by Jim Bradley/Bradley Construction North Inc. for final plat approval of Winterhaven Condominiums, Phase IV

Haemmerle recused.

Brian Yeager from Galena Engineering presented on behalf of Jim Bradley. Preliminary plat has been approved. There were two department heads who had comments under life and safety. One being that addresses must be visible has been met. Conditions a – j have been conformed to. Yeager explained that Jim Bradley is in a bind for time since the last meeting was canceled because of lack of quorum. He has four pending sales. Time is of the essence. **Brown moved to conditionally approve final plat with conditions a – j. Keirn seconded; Motion carried unanimously.**

An application by Bryan Evans for final plat approval of Airport West phase II, Block 2, Lots 3AA & 3AB

Brian Yeager from Galena Engineering presented for Bryan Evans. Yeager explained that this project has been in front of council before. The main difference they are trying to achieve is the reconfiguration of a common lot line between the two lots. Yeager added that condition C requires a 5 foot sidewalk. On previously owned specs they had the opportunity to bond for the sidewalk so that they didn't have to build them right now. The future building owner could build it then so it didn't get torn up during construction. Beth Robrahn, City Planner reiterated that we can bond for final plat without a problem. This is part of normal procedure. Haemmerle is not comfortable with implied. Robrahn said that it is in our ordinance. Nothing is being implied. **Keirn moved to approve the application for final plat approval of Airport West phase II, Block 2, Lots 3AA and 3AB. Brown seconded; Haemmerle would like to review ordinance but will take Robrahn's word for it. Hellen also reiterated that this is normal procedure. Motion carried unanimously.**

City initiated text amendment to Article 6A of the Hailey Zoning Ordinance – design review exemption of minor projects and procedure for modifications to Design Review approval.

Beth Robrahn, Planning Director discussed the administrative language that was brought to the P&Z commission to clarify and set up criteria and procedures on exemptions for design review of minor projects in item (f). Item (g) establishes procedures for administrative approval of minor modifications for design review approval. After P&Z

recommended these amendments the city attorney pointed out that the language is redundant. Item (f) mid paragraph some language needs to be deleted. The language that says, “and specified how each of the above evaluation criteria has been met” be deleted because that is what findings of fact does. Item (g) last paragraph “and specified etc...” should be deleted there also. Sentence would end after findings of fact. Brown reiterated that (g) exempts them from Design Review. Brown questioned how the town site overlay would not miss anything. Robrahn explained that it should go before P&Z with Robrahn’s recommendations and they can decide if it’s major or minor. Brown asked how many planning times this has come up in planning. Robrahn has come across one in one year. Haemmerle explained that he is a fan of shortening the process; however he is concerned about approving minor modifications by the administrator. Brown asked how many times a minor modification has come to her. Robrahn said that it has happened a handful of times and explained some of the changes regarding changes in landscaping, and lighting fixtures. Haemmerle pointed out that he trusts Robrahn as much as anyone but if a decision is incorrect the P&Z commissioners would share the blame rather than leaving the decision up to the administrator. Opened up for public hearing. There were no comments. **Brown moved to approve Hailey Ord. 1009 with a modification to language in (g) regarding minor approvals and have it mimic paragraph (f) of Hailey Zoning Ordinance and to read first reading by title only. Keirn seconded; Brown amended motion by including deleting some redundant language found in both paragraphs (f) & (g) as mentioned above. Keirn seconded. Burke asked council to vote on the amendment. All in favor. Motion carried unanimously. Burke read the ordinance. Council would like to review this ordinance in one year to see if we can simplify more.**

Resolution 2008-06 to amend Planning and Zoning Commission Bylaws (continued from May 12, 2008), and consideration of Ordinance to amend Municipal Code Section 2.40 to incorporate the meeting protocol described in P&Z bylaws into the City Meetings Procedure ordinance language.

Robrahn discussed the motion from the last council meeting where the council asked for new language. Pg. 110 item number 4 now requires that the motion to reconsider would be required at the next scheduled meeting of the commission. Under 4 A she has added the language that the Commissioner who voted in support of the motion in question has to make a motion for reconsideration stating what motion is being reconsidered. Brown clarified that a commissioner voted on the prevailing side of the motion is the one who can bring it up again. Burke would like Williamson to look at this again. Brown said on (b) it should read the commissioner. The new ordinance would be 1010 and would need to go to first reading. Heather Dawson, City Administrator will further amend this draft and bring it back. Dawson clarified that ordinance #1005 was adopted and 3rd reading read at last meeting. At the same time you talked about amending the P&Z bylaws to include this language. Williamson had drafted some language that would further amend Municipal Code 2.04 which ordinance 1005 previously amended to further amend to make the P&Z bylaws. Ordinance 1010 will have to go to 1st reading. We are amending the same section of the code twice. Brown questioned 6.2 the hearing public procedure. Brown would like the applicant to go first and then the city so it doesn’t look

like the City is the applicant. Robrahn pointed out that the staff can outline and then refer to the applicant. Burke would like staff to speak first and then refer to the applicant. **Keirn moved to adopt resolution number 2008-06. Brown seconded clarifying 6.4, a & b will be revised; Motion carried unanimously.**

City Council recess to new meeting location at Blaine County Courthouse at 6:20pm. – regularly scheduled Planning and Zoning Commission meeting begins at Hailey City Hall at 6:30pm

**AGENDA SPECIAL MEETING
HAILEY CITY COUNCIL MEETING
Blaine County Courthouse Upstairs Meeting Room 6:30pm**

Reconvene meeting at new location at:

Present include, Martha Burke, Council President, Fritz Haemmerle and Don Keirn. Staff present include Heather Dawson, City Administrator and Mary Cone City Clerk. Carol Brown recused

PUBLIC HEARING (CONTINUED)

An application by Bulotti Construction, Inc. for a Floodplain Development Permit and Preliminary Plat approval of the subdivision of Lot 15, Block 1, Birdwood Subdivision (911 Silver Star Drive) to create 2 lots (continued from May 12, 2008 council meeting. Haemmerle would like public to comment

Gary Slette , Attorney introduced Dr. Brockway and Brian Yeager who will present facts regarding the Floodplain Development Permit and Preliminary Plat approval of the subdivision. Chuck Brockway, Brockway Engineering discussed the issue of the Culvert. The City of Hailey requested an independent review on culvert design. The city approved Scott King at applicant's expense. Brockway explained that the initial concept was a large box culvert which was an over design. After a joint conversation they decided to step back and make a much more realistic estimate of what flowed from surface water. There is an existing culvert in the road upstream which governs the surface water flow. They then made a conservative assumption allowing for water over the roadway embankment and into the swale. This was agreed to by 3 engineers. There is a letter in the packet from Mr. King that proposes 4 metal pipe culverts (45 X 22). The result of this is in a drawing in the packet. The culvert has to be dropped because the City of Hailey's ordinance does not allow you not to. This proposal was programmed into the HY8 model and it works. Mr. King feels there may be an error in the drawing. Brockway pointed out that the drawing is not to scale and indicates so on the page. Hydraulically this has no impact at all. Brockway said that you would have to be concerned about sediment dropping out. This would be a concern if surface water was flowing thru the culvert but he said we don't have that.

Haemmerle questioned the purpose of the culverts. Brockway said that nobody identified it was surface or ground water. Brockway said his opinion is based on observation that there is no surface water flowing. The swale is within the FEMA flood plain. We have to have the culverts there because of the flood plain. The CPF report says there will be sediment. Brockway would agree if there was surface water. Haemmerle asked, if the culverts are clogged with sediment how far it would back up? Brockway did not know. In his opinion, it is highly unlikely it would affect anyone's property. Haemmerle clarified that they would need to be monitored and cleaned out. Brockway said the two person homeowners association would be responsible for maintenance of culvert. Keirn asked the stage of the river – possibly 200-250 year flood may. Brockway testified last time that most of the water was surface water – real life fictitious assumption – 55.6, Brockway not saying there will be 55.6 in 100 year flood, He is speculating because he is being asked to. Because this is in the flood plain, we must consider surface water flooding scenario.

Brian Yeager, Galena Engineering has addressed the task of addressing the 15,000 lb. load for the emergency vehicles. Point load 12 or 16 lbs. Satisfied with culvert. Road Structure will be structurally sound for fire truck load.

Gary Slette – Sedimentation issue raised by Scott King. The ground water is not surface connected per Brockway. If you want a plat note saying the owners of the 2 driveways are responsible for cleaning the sedimentary from the culvert that would be an acceptable condition. Williamson pointed out on page 19 that the box culvert should eliminate the word box. They have tried diligently to meet the city's criteria for approval of a 2 lot subdivision.

Keith Roark spoke on behalf of unhappy neighbors. Roark pointed out that they have not been able to obtain a copy. He doesn't know if there was ever a flood plain development permit submitted. Roark pointed out that this area has always been a flood concern. Roark pointed out page 126. They were directed by the P&Z staff to consider 2.5 of the comp plan that deals with hazardous areas. He talked about Policy #1 & Policy #2. Roark pointed out many areas of concern in the Comp Plan and what is required and the fact that we have never completed the planning study that is required and the impacts they have on surrounding areas. If you don't have your own study of surface water and ground water how can you go forward? We need a comprehensive study about what is already at that site.

Steve Fischer, Aquatic Biologist – Has worked with Dr. Brockway and Brian Yeager in the past. Pg. 5 – (116) section 4.1.11.1 talks about driveways shown to have a 20' asphalt width. Hellen clarified that the driveway is 26' wide.

Pg. 118 – 4.3.8 – alley ways and easements – Talked about City Engineers comments and about the fact that flood plains need conveyance. Staff requires a drainage plan for this development. Cedar Street is built on grade and this is not a good idea in the flood areas. We are doing reactive management in design. We do not have a flood hazard study to know what is right.

Pg. 120 – Talks about 2 different charges that city council is charged with tonight. Looking to approve preliminary plat and develop a flood plain development permit. Fisher asked if the city approves a city plat how can they approve a flood plain permit if they don't have one? Fisher talked about Flood Plain Storage. He said that we don't know enough about the flood plain to develop this area any further. He thinks we can put a driveway in eventually but we don't know how to do that.

Haemmerle asked if Fisher had a problem with this culvert plan design? Fischer said he has never seen culverts buried this far in the ground. It's a drop inlet and a restricted outlet. Clearance is 16 inches. It can possibly be clogged by something. HY8 model shows this. The policy on pg. 126 shows you have to have these studies done.

Pg. 129 – Fischer talked about the summary and staff recommendations. He feels that they are well thought out and they do apply. He brought up the applications again and the fact they only have 1 to review.

Fischer talked about the need to look at the design of the driveway and the culvert, needs a better plan for flooding. The problem is that the maintenance is done during the flood. We don't have a plan that addresses that. Fischer said that if we had a flood hazard study the planners, engineers and public would benefit from this and then individual drainage plans could be evaluated.

Burke opened the meeting up for public comment:

Craig Lawrence – 910 Silverstar

Witnesses on both sides of the property say water is flowing here. Ground water can flow. The upstream of the ground water flow and if they get blocked at all this can affect the neighbors.

Ellie Ellis – 411 Della Vista

During the 2006 flood Ellis struggled with a home that was built in 1999. Ellis said she had 3 pumps going for 3 weeks and an Aqua Dap on the front lawn. She pumped water from her property to her neighbor's lots and if somebody builds on the Bullioti lots that is what they will do also. She talked about the meetings that happened after the last flood. Nothing has been done. Mayor, engineer and city planner have done nothing.

Jamie Coulter – 320 West Cedar Street

Coulter pointed out that he is unaware of any culvert going across Cedar St.

Brockway said that upstream people have built above base flood elevation. Coulter said this is not true. Coulter questioned the maintenance of the culvert. He pointed out that the owner has not even received a C.O. for current home on Silverstar why would he maintain the culvert?

Tom Drugas – lives adjacent to property. Drugas owns another property up the river.

Drugas witnessed 2 acres of property across the river that was totally changed after the last flood. The 2006 flood washed 80 cottonwood trees down the river in 48 hours. In the event there is a flood who would he contact in the event the culvert was not maintained? Drugas stated that if council is comfortable with this than he is.

Martha Burke closed the meeting to Public comment.

Gary Slette asked Dr. Brockway to give a rebuttal regarding the comments made by Mr. Fischer. He talked about comp plan that Mr. Roark talked about. If a study needs to be undertaken so be it. The comp plan is not the controlling plan in making these decisions. The ordinance is. He feels they supported us with the documents they need to make a decision. He thinks the council can have a clear conscience in approving this. Steve Fisher is an aquatic biologist – in terms of hydrology the city required that Bulotti pay for a hydrologist. They have done that. The driveway was designed according to city standards and what the fire department required. There are FEMA Standards that need to be followed if we agree with them or not. Slette talked about Amy Browning's photo's that proves the water is not flowing and that it is ground water that we are facing. Slette addressed the Flood Plain Development Application and said that both applications were received in 2003 by Diane Shay.

Haemmerle asked Chuck Brockway to clarify the Debate about surface water. Haemmerle asked, if the culverts are blocked and surface water exists can that water back onto other people's property? Chuck Brockway said that it is highly unlikely. Haemmerle asked if worse case scenario would water back up. Brockway said that is a ridiculous assumption but if that is the case yes.

Brockway confirmed that FEMA dictates that every culvert is 100 percent open. The reason they have that rule is to make the City of Hailey **will take the responsible**. They can assign the homeowners association to take care of it. Haemmerle asked who pays the penalty if they are not clean? Brockway did not know. Brockway is designing what the city of Hailey and FEMA have requested.

Brockway address Coulter's concern that there is not a culvert across Cedar St. Brockway said the design is even more conservative without the culvert but he did not know if there was one there or not. Brockway went on to address comments from Mr. Fischer regarding standards to design. Brockway said they have designed to City of Hailey Standards.

Brockway said that pipes are set below grade because the city has an ordinance that needs to be followed. Comment was made that only the top part passes flow. Brockway says this is not correct. The reason there are 4 pipes is because of FEMA's criteria. That way there will be no decrease in conveyance. These are based on actual calculations.

The comment was made that it would be a submerged suck hole. Brockway does not know what this means.

Drainage plans being required. Roof runoff or driveway runoff needs to be contained. Perhaps a flood hazard study is required for this area. As for this case they have designed as they should.

Keirn asked if the building envelope is out of the flood plain and Brockway confirmed that this is correct.

Burke brought the meeting back to council for discussion. Haemmerle pointed out that P&Z denied this application based on 4.9 criteria evaluation. 1st criteria - no danger to life, limb or property, etc.. That is the reason P&Z denied the application. Haemmerle has utmost respect for the Brockway firm but does not think they can guarantee that there would be no danger to life or property. Haemmerle is having trouble supporting.

Keirn said that it is council's duty is to protect life and property. There is no guarantee in this case.

Haemmerle questioned how any application could be passed in the flood zone. Keirn has not heard the fact that there would be no danger. Keirn is also concerned about the maintenance of the culvert.

Burke said that council's job is the safety and welfare of the community. Unfortunately, the criteria before them are the best they have. Burke does not see any other option than to deny the application and support P&Z's recommendation.

Burke reiterated that the public hearing was closed.

"Haemmerle reiterated that he could not guarantee no danger and, therefore, moved to uphold all of P&Z findings. In particular, with respect to 4.9 and 4.91 that requires subdivisions within the floodplain shall comply will all provisions of Section 4.10, Flood Hazard Overlay District, of the Hailey Zoning Ordinance and under Flood Plain Development Criteria 4.10.7.2(a), saying there will be no danger to life, limb or property due to increased flood heights or velocities, Haemmerle said those particular criteria have not been met. Furthermore, under 4.10.7.2(b), stating that all subdivisions shall have adequate drainage provided to reduce exposure to flood damage, because of possible silting of the culverts, Haemmerle also stated that no positive finding could be made under this criteria. Kerin seconded; Motion carried unanimously."

No further discussion.

CA 187 – Burke pointed out that a motion had never been made for CA 187. **Keirn moved to ratify Resolution 2008-05 supporting the Blaine County Levy Override measure for funding paramedic ambulance service within Blaine County. Haemmerle seconded; Motion carried unanimously.**

NEW BUSINESS

Discussion of use of local option tax funds to connect 2 blocks of sidewalk along Woodside Boulevard to Woodside Elementary School sidewalk system

Hellen presented the use of LOT funds to fund sidewalks along Woodside Blvd. Hellen is aware of the concern about a stop sign at Cherry Hill and a safe place for children and dogs that should be on leashes. The only problem Hellen has with the proposal for

sidewalks is snow removal in the winter. To get the equipment to Woodside Blvd would pose a danger to the city employee plowing the sidewalks. Hellen thinks neighbors could get together to take care of this. He ran numbers and the conservative estimate is \$34,000 to fund this project. Dawson thinks the drawing is in compliance with the city standards. She pointed out that a neighborhood meeting is scheduled for June 5th. All neighborhoods will be invited to participate. Dawson said that we will have an excess in LOT funds.

Burke questioned the speed that was a concern. Hellen pointed out that it is just busier. We learned from studies that speed is not the issue. A lot of the time the people who complain are the ones who are the speeders. Burke thinks that if we were going to spend the money we should do it right and put in sidewalks and deal with the snow removal. How we pay for it is a major question. Kern asked Dawson about LID. Dawson thinks that the neighborhood meetings will pull out a lot of good ideas. Burke applauds this process. Dawson said there is a feeling of timeliness because time is of the essence. She thinks they will focus on short term solutions. Burke asked about the black top that was laid in Foxmoor. Cement holds up better but black top may be more affordable.

Concrete in front of Kraynick's office and in front of Emmanuel Episcopal is breaking away. Haemmerle asked if we outlawed salt. Hellen said no. Council thinks we should support this cause.

STAFF REPORT

Hellen reported that the street department is looking at excess equipment and looking at a new way to do snow removal. Hellen discussed water pressure and the ordinance. Jeff Gunter, Police Chief issued 30 citations this afternoon for watering illegally. The water department and the police department are working together to enforce.

River is coming up. It is not predicated to get to the flood level yet, however, thunderstorms are predicted. Burke will be in charge during Mayor Davis's absence she has asked to be kept informed of all changes.

COUNCIL REPORT

Keirn reported that SEIDO will be meeting June 13th at Rocky Mountain Hardware. The City of Hailey will be serving lunch and there will be tours and information available about the green process.

Haemmerle reported that the Parks & Lands Board is working with the Historic Board regarding the rodeo grounds.

Keirn questioned if the council is participating in the parade this year. Burke will be away and Haemmerle will be riding with Rotary.

WORKSHOP

Reading of Ordinances from Consent Agenda

Burke read by title only – Ordinances from Consent Agenda

Haemmerle moved to adjourn at 8:35pm. Keirn seconded. Motion carried.